Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure for may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than Mar 26, 2018

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure RM3 for the Statewide Direct Primary to be held on Jun 05, 218

X Rebuttal to Primary Argument in Favor of Measure RM3  Rebuttal to Primary argument Against

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet.

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author’s name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person: David Schonbrunn

Phone: [Redacted]

Address: [Redacted] San Rafael, CA 94915 (www.transdef.org)

Fax: [Redacted]

Email: [Redacted]

Please complete the reverse side of this form

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402

P 650.312.5222  F 650.312.5348  email: registrar@smcare.org  web www.smcare.org
## Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, there is no requirement that they be eligible to vote on the measure. However, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer’s name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

1. **Name:** David Schonbrunn  
   **Title:** President, Transportation Solutions Defense and Education Fund (TRANSDEF)  
   **Phone:**  
   **Address:** San Rafael, CA 94915  
   **Signature:**  
   **Date:** 3/25/18

2. **Name:** Patricia L. Hogan-Chorn  
   **Title:** Public Transportation Advocate  
   **Phone:**  
   **Address:** Burlingame, CA 94010  
   **Signature:**  
   **Date:** March 25, 2018

3. **Name:** Matt Feenster  
   **Title:** Software Developer  
   **Phone:**  
   **Address:** Burlingame, CA 94010  
   **Signature:**  
   **Date:** 3/25/2018

4. **Name:** Linda Krill  
   **Title:** Former Foster City Mayor  
   **Phone:**  
   **Address:** Foster City, CA 94404  
   **Signature:**  
   **Date:** 3/25/2018

5. **Name:** Thomas Weissmiller  
   **Title:** Retired Army Officer  
   **Phone:**  
   **Address:** San Mateo, CA 94402  
   **Signature:**  
   **Date:** 03/25/2018

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signers</th>
<th>Registered</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Signed</th>
<th>Dated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bona Fide</td>
<td>Association Verified</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Signed</td>
<td>Dated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Authorization Form
Change in Preparer, Submitter, or Signer of Rebuttal Arguments

PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. California Elections Code §§ 9167, §9327, §9504

The undersigned author(s) hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) (up to five) to sign, prepare, or submit (whichever is applicable) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against Measure AM3 for the election to be held on June 05, 2018 (date of election)

I. NEW SIGNER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: David Schonbrunn
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Patricia L. Heggen Giovanni
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Matt Feenster
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Linda Koelling
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Thomas Weissmiller

II. NEW PREPARER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: David Schonbrunn
Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: 

III. NEW SUBMITTER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: 
Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: 

NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):

Printed Name and Signature of Author

Printed Name and Signature of Author

Date

Date

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.

MAR 26 2018

By [Signature of Deputy Clerk]
Regional Measure 3 is a bad deal: You pay higher tolls while congestion worsens. Higher trucking costs make shopping more expensive.

Regional Measure 3 does not provide "a comprehensive long-term solution to reduce traffic, improve travel times and bring our public transportation system into the 21st century."

That's because it doesn't address the root cause of congestion: Too many people drive alone during commute hours. Instead of solving that problem, Regional Measure 3 is merely a hodgepodge of pet projects, offering something to everyone.

The Metropolitan Transportation Commission, the agency proposing the toll increase, has ignored the solo-driving problem for decades.

• By MTC's own numbers, the same percentage of people will drive alone in 2040 as now.

• That won't work with "two million additional residents in the next two decades."

• Adding a million more cars to already crowded roads does not "take cars off the road and make everyone's commutes easier."

• Regional Measure 3 leads the way to gridlock.

We need good alternatives to driving alone: Smartphone ridesharing apps now make it possible for drivers to pick up a nearby passenger with a similar destination. A media campaign can encourage far better use of our HOV lanes, with no construction costs. Many more will benefit from comprehensive, convenient, frequent transit.

Don't believe proponent's talk about "strong taxpayer safeguards. MTC shifted money in 2004's Regional Measure 2 from the Dumbarton Corridor to the East Bay. MTC and the counties can't be trusted to spend the funds as authorized by the voters."

Vote No on Regional Measure 3.

See OccupyMTC.org