

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure O

We cannot afford Measure O \$40M bond and all of the other San Bruno Park School District bonded indebtedness, for 40 ~~more~~ years until year 2052!

~~14~~
~~8~~

With the 2005 sale of Carl Sandburg for \$30M and the projected costs of the Parkside Renovation of \$18M, the sale money would have been more than enough to cover the entire Parkside renovation with the remaining \$12M to be used for other capital school projects.

~~17~~
~~16~~
~~15~~
1

The 2009-2010 San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury looked at the Proposition 39 Citizens' Oversight Committee, ~~and~~ its effectiveness and reported that there were many deficiencies. The full report can be found at

12
~~11~~
8

http://www.sanmateocourt.org/documents/grand_jury/2009/prop39.pdf. The Supporters of Measure O are making a strong assertion that the oversight committee will monitor the taxpayers' money. What they fail to tell you is that the oversight committee is **hand-picked** by the Superintendent and the school board. Therefore, how can there be HONEST and EFFECTIVE OVERSIGHT? In other words, the fox is guarding the hen house.

2
13
15
12

Without taxpayers approval, the San Bruno Park School District sold over \$5M in taxpayer bonds for the solar project that is already under construction. The San Bruno Park School District has used the Belle Air School as collateral for the loan. The San Bruno Park School District will issue New Clean Renewable Energy Bonds, for approximately \$5.3M plus interest.

14
7
~~10~~
13
13
8
~~5~~

When the San Bruno Park School District voted to place Measure O on the ballot they also agreed to place a parcel tax in the next General Election of 2012.

12
19

As San Bruno Park School District taxpayers, we need to stand up and

9

Vote NO ~~on~~ Measure O

~~4~~



FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.

AUG 29 2011

MARK CHURCH, Chief Elections Officer
By: [Signature]
DEPUTY CLERK



Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than 8/29/11.

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure 0 for the SBPSD to be held on Nov. 8, 2011.

SK Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure 0 Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure _____

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person: <u>Charles J. Zelnik Jr.</u>	Phone: [REDACTED]
Mailing Address: [REDACTED]	
Fax: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

1.	Name: Robert Riechel	Title: 2009-2010 County General Manager
Phone: [REDACTED]		Email: [REDACTED]
Address: [REDACTED]		
Signature: [REDACTED]		Date: Aug 26 2011
2.	Name: Charles (Chuck) Zelnik	Title: Candidate San Bruno Park ^{Schedule 111C}
Phone: [REDACTED]		Email: [REDACTED]
Address: [REDACTED]		
Signature: [REDACTED]		Date: 8-26-2011
3.	Name: Nancy J. Young	Title: Retired - Senior Citizen
Phone: [REDACTED]		Email: [REDACTED]
Address: [REDACTED]		
Signature: [REDACTED]		Date: 8/29/11
4.	Name: Susan K. Zelnik	Title: Accounting/Contracts Manager
Phone: [REDACTED]		Email: [REDACTED]
Address: [REDACTED]		
Signature: [REDACTED]		Date: 8/29/11
5.	Name: [REDACTED]	Title: [REDACTED]
Phone: [REDACTED]		Email: [REDACTED]
Address: [REDACTED]		
Signature: [REDACTED]		Date: [REDACTED]

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY					
Signers	<input type="checkbox"/> Registered	N/A	Signed	Dated	
Bona Fide Association	<input type="checkbox"/> Verified	N/A	Signed	Dated	