SIGNATURE FORM FOR PROPONENTS

EC 9600: “All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to this division shall be accompanied by the following form statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument.”

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the ballot argument ☐ in favor of or ☑ against ballot measure Black Mountain Park Measure at the General Municipal Election for the City of San Carlos to be held on November 3, 2015, hereby states that such argument is true and correct to the best of his/her/their knowledge and belief:

Signed: __________________________ Date: August 11, 2015

Printed Name: Ronda Scott
(Name will be printed exactly as written on this line)

Full Title: Resident
(Title will be printed exactly as written on this line – limit 25 words)

Residence Address: __________________________

Phone Number: __________________________

▶ For any argument filed by the City Council, the proponent of an initiative, or an individual voter, all signors must be eligible to vote on the measure (i.e. San Carlos resident, at least 18 years old, U.S. Citizen, and not incarcerated or on parole for the conviction of a felony).

▶ For any signor on a city ballot measure, a consent form is required for the use of any organization name in the signor’s title(s). The organization must consent to the use of its name for all signors.

▶ Offices held with public agencies (including city boards and commissions) do not require a consent form from the jurisdiction.

▶ If using more than one organization name in the full title(s), a separate consent form is required for each organization.
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, there is no requirement that they be eligible to vote on the measure. However, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Registered</th>
<th>Verified</th>
<th>Signed</th>
<th>Dated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Bill Koehoed</td>
<td>40 yr San Carlos Resident</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Bob Farkas</td>
<td>30 yr San Carlos Resident</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Priya Joseph</td>
<td>San Carlos Resident</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Betsy Koehoed</td>
<td>40 yr San Carlos Resident</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Mary Farrell</td>
<td>24 yr Resident</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.
Argument against Measure V

NO ON BLACK MOUNTAIN PARK MEASURE

Parks and open space are a vital part of what makes San Carlos enjoyable. Many of us support the city using our tax dollars to maintain and improve our current parks. We might even spend a reasonable amount to acquire park land in the future.

But, we cannot and do not support the Black Mountain deal. Here's why:

No purchase price, no sale!

According to city's own Tax Rate Statement, this bond will cost $88 MILLION DOLLARS over the next 30 years for the purchase of the property with no signed agreement. This bond measure writes a blank check to the developers selling the Black Mountain properties.

There's no plan for the property, only empty promises.

You may vote for open space and hiking trails, but could very well end up with a recreation center and paved parking lots. Who knows? The city is asking us to fork over millions of dollars with no idea what it's for or when that'll be decided.

Taxes going up and up and up.

This bond measure adds hundreds of dollars more a year in residential property tax on top of the thousands we already pay and there is no exemption for seniors.

Current San Carlos parks go unmaintained and underutilized.

Our city already has 15 parks on 143 acres, easy access to dozens of county parks, adjacent city parks and private open space. The city can't even maintain the hiking trails and open space parks we already have, why spend $88 MILLION on another?

The Black Mountain deal is half-baked and will raise our taxes for decades to come. It does not deserve our votes or our tax dollars.

No plan, no price, NO DEAL. Send this measure back to City Hall with a firm NO.