Authorization Form

Change in Preparer, Submitter, or Signer of Rebuttal Argument:

PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. EC 9285

The undersigned author(s) hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) (up to five) to sign, prepare, or submit (whichever is applicable) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against Measure __ for the election to be held on November 8, 2016.

I. NEW SIGNER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Timothy Hooker

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Raymond Marshall

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Rosalie O'Mahony

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Greg Land

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Janet Martin

II. NEW PREPARER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: Timothy Hooker

Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer:

III. NEW SUBMITTER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: Timothy Hooker

Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter:

NAME(S) AND SIGNATURE(S) OF PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):

__________________________________________  ____________________________
Printed Name and Signature of Author                          Date

__________________________________________  ____________________________
Printed Name and Signature of Author                          Date
Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than 5pm on August 29, 2016. These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure: R for the City of Burlingame to be held on November 8, 2016

☑ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure R ☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

☐ Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author’s name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person: Timothy Hooker

Please complete the reverse side of this form.
Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Legislative Body of the City of Burlingame</th>
<th>Member of the Legislative Body of the City of Burlingame</th>
<th>Bona Fide Association of Citizen/Organization</th>
<th>Individual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Timothy W. Hoekel</td>
<td>Burlingame Rentier</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Raynold C. Marshall</td>
<td>Retired Fire Fighter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Rosalie M. O'Mahony</td>
<td>Former Burlingame mayor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Gregory Land</td>
<td>Board of Trustees, San Mateo Union High School District</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Janet Martin</td>
<td>Local Business Owner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

For official use only:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signers</th>
<th>Registered</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Signed</th>
<th>Dated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bona Fide Association</td>
<td>Verified</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Signed</td>
<td>Dated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Measure R Rebuttal to Argument in Favor

We join the ENTIRE Burlingame City Council in urging a NO vote on Measure R.

Burlingame officials are meaningfully addressing local housing needs including:

- Encouraging homeowners to update existing detached in-law units to increase rental supply
- Approving 140 affordable senior and workforce apartments on city lots
- Updating our zoning to thoughtfully increase housing supply in locations such as Rollins Road and Downtown Burlingame
- Supporting San Mateo Union High School District in developing new teacher housing

Measure R repeals over thirty years of voter approved real estate and home protections including the right for homeowners to establish sale and rental pricing.

Problems with Measure R include:

- Regulating nearly all single family home, condominium, apartment and hotel rentals
- Adding significant red-tape and fees for most rentals
- Discouraging property owners who want to perform maintenance and safety upgrades
- Declining property values that impact schools and neighborhood safety
- Allowing subleasing while prohibiting owners from completing background checks on new tenants
- Permitting wealthy people to occupy below-market units for decades as newcomers struggle to find affordable housing

Measure R is badly flawed public policy with no fiscal accountability and places our city’s safety and financial stability at risk. Measure R creates a nonelected and unaccountable Rental Housing Commission with the power to tax and direct funds from the Burlingame general account and capital reserves. Additionally, the city would be forced to spend an unspecified amount to defend this poorly written, ambiguous ordinance.

This is a boondoggle for lawyers and does not create new affordable housing options.

Please join your neighbors and VOTE NO on Measure R.

RECEIVED

AUG 2 6 2016

CITY CLERK’S OFFICE
CITY OF BURLINGAME