Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the author(s) submitting it, or, if submitted on behalf of an organization, the name of the organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers who is the author of the argument; and the form statement provided in Elections Code section 9600 signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than 5pm on August 29, 2016. These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure [N] for the [Presidential General Election] to be held on 11/8/2016.

☐ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure [ ]
☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure [ ]

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

☐ Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author’s name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person: [Eric Ruchames]
Mailing Address:
Fax:

Please complete the reverse side of this form.
Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the rebuttal argument (in favor of/against) ballot proposition N (name or number) at the General Municipal election for the City of Pacifica to be held on November 8, 2016 hereby state that this argument is true and correct to the best of their (his/her/their) knowledge and belief.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Legislative Body of the City of Pacifica</th>
<th>Member of the Legislative Body of the City of Pacifica</th>
<th>Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization</th>
<th>Individual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Virginia 'Sini' Jagoth</td>
<td>Former Mayor, City of Pacifica</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Bruce M. Bano</td>
<td>Co-President, Pacifica's CARE</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Ellen R. T.</td>
<td>President, Pacifica Library Foundation</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers  ☐ Registered  N/A  Signed  Dated
Bona Fide Association  ☐ Verified  N/A  Signed  Dated
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer’s name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the rebuttal argument **AGAINST** (in favor of/against) ballot proposition **N** (name or number) at the General Municipal election for the City of Pacifica to be held on November 8, 2016 hereby state that this argument is true and correct to the best of **THEIR** (his/her/their) knowledge and belief.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Name: MIKE RAY</th>
<th>Title: CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date: 8/28/16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the rebuttal argument (in favor of/against) ballot proposition (name or number) at the General Municipal election for the City of Pacifica to be held on November 8, 2016 hereby state that this argument is true and correct to the best of (his/her/their) knowledge and belief.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Legislative Body of the City of Pacifica</th>
<th>Number of the Legislative Body of the City of Pacifica</th>
<th>Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization</th>
<th>Individual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MARGO MEIMAN</td>
<td>VOLUNTEER, PACIFICA BEACH COALITION</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date: 8/29/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.  

3.  

4.  

5.  

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers ☐ Registered  N/A  Signed  Dated  
Bona Fide Association ☐ Verified  N/A  Signed  Dated
Authorization Form

Change in Preparer, Submitter, or Signer of Rebuttal Arguments

PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. EC 9285

The undersigned author(s) hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) (up to five) to sign, prepare, or submit (whichever is applicable) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of against Measure [N] for the election to be held on November 8, 2016.

I. NEW SIGNER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: VIRGINIA "GINNY" JAQUIT IT
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: BRUCE M. BANCO
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: ELLEN F. RON
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: MIKE RAY
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: MARGO MEIMAN

II. NEW PREPARER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer:
Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer:

III. NEW SUBMITTER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter:
Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter:

NAME(S) AND SIGNATURE(S) OF AUTHOR(S):

ERIC RUCHAMS

8/29/16
Date

Printed Name and Signature of Author
Arguments in support or opposition of the proposed laws are the opinions of the authors.

Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure N

The authors of the argument against Measure N don’t have their facts straight and are attempting to deny current and future generations of children, teens, seniors and others the library we need.

Measure N is a thoroughly vetted plan to provide a modern library with free learning opportunities to Pacifica residents of all ages seven days per week.

The Measure N plan ensures our library is safe from sea-level rise, now and 50 years from now. An independent, third-party coastal hazard study and the California Coastal Commission support the library’s location. Learn more here: http://pacificlibraryfoundation.com/CCC-Letter-supporting-Pacifica-Library.pdf

Measure N gives children and teens a safe place to go after school.
It funds a Homework Center, rooms for children’s story time and expanded computer labs for those without access at home.

Current Pacifica libraries are closed part of the week.
Librarians must split their time between the two libraries, reducing access for all. Measure N supports one central library, open seven days a week, including weekends and after school.

Our libraries are 35 years old and in disrepair.
The libraries have leaky roofs, moldy walls, outdated wiring and antiquated computers. Extensive renovations are significantly more costly than the Measure N plan. Read the independent facility assessment here: http://pacificlibraryfoundation.com/Library-Facility-Structural-Assessment-FINAL.pdf

Measure N is a responsible plan.
Annual independent financial audits, a citizen’s oversight committee and public review of expenditures ensure that every penny is spent as promised.

Vote Yes on N: the right choice for our community, our library and our Pacifica.