Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than March 26, 2018.

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure ________ for the Primary ________ to be held on June 5, 2018 ________.

☑ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure ________
☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure ________

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

☐ Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author’s name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person: Mark Hinkle
Phone: [Redacted]
Mailing Address: [Redacted] Mountain View, CA 95037
Fax: [Redacted]
Email: [Redacted]

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402
P 650.312.5222  F 650.312.5348  email registrar@smcacre.org  web www.smcacre.org
Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Governing Body of San Mateo County or a Special District</th>
<th>Member of the Governing Body of School District, a School District of a Special District</th>
<th>Bona Fide Association of Citizens Organization</th>
<th>Individual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone:</td>
<td>Email:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signature:</td>
<td>Date:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Mark W. A. Hinkle</td>
<td>President, Silicon Valley Taxpayers Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone:</td>
<td>Email:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signature:</td>
<td>Date:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Harland Harrison</td>
<td>Chair, Libertarian Party of San Mateo County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone:</td>
<td>Email:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signature:</td>
<td>Date:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Chris Yonts</td>
<td>Belmont Parent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone:</td>
<td>Email:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signature:</td>
<td>Date:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers: [ ] Registered [ ] Verified N/A Signed [ ] Dated
Bona Fide Association: [ ] Registered [ ] Verified N/A Signed [ ] Dated
Authorization Form
Change in Preparer, Submitter, or Signer of Rebuttal Arguments

PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. California Elections Code §9167, §9317, §9504

The undersigned author(s) hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) (up to five) to sign prepare, or submit (whichever is applicable) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against Measure _____ for the election to be held on _______________ (date of election)

I. NEW SIGNER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: __________________________________________

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: __________________________________________

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: __________________________________________

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: __________________________________________

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: __________________________________________

II. NEW PREPARER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: ________________________________________

Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: ________________________________________

III. NEW SUBMITTER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: _______________________________________

Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: _______________________________________

NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):

Mark Hinkle

Printed Name and Signature of Author

Date

Harland Harrison

Printed Name and Signature of Author

Date
Belmont Redwood Shores School District $118 Parcel Tax: Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor - Measure K

With this latest "temporary" parcel tax, the Belmont Redwood Shores School District claims they need more money to continue providing quality education.

The district not only wants the 2013 parcel tax for 10 years they're already getting, they want an increase of $118 per year more for 5 years. And that's on top of 2 bond measures of $48M and $25M funded since 2010.

Have they earned this extension that will cost you $590 over the next 5 years (on top of the taxes you are already paying)?

Let's look at the student test scores for Math learners attaining proficiency:

2015-16 school year results: 74% meeting proficiency.

That means 26% do NOT meet standards.

Source: California Department of Education Data Partnership

If you got 74% a test, that would be a C grade, would it not?

Should you, the voters, reward a 26% failure rate with more money?

If no, we encourage you to vote **NO on Measure K**.

Belmont Redwood Shores School District's is already spending $10,616 per student. For an average class size of 26 students, that's $270,016 per class per year.

The average salary and benefits for teachers is $92,347 per shortened work year.

Yet, they want more of your hard earned money to pad their salaries and fat pension plans.

Tell the Belmont Redwood Shores School District Board to be fiscally responsible by voting **NO on Measure K**.

**If you reward failure, you will get more failure!**

For more information please visit us at http://www.svtaxpayers.org/2018-belmont-redwood-shores-parcel-tax