Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than 8/29/2016.

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure K for the general election to be held on November 8, 2016

☐ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure ______  ☑ Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure K

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

☑ Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author’s name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person: Michael Lane

Please complete the reverse side of this form.
Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer’s name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Governing Body of San Mateo County, a Special District</th>
<th>Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District</th>
<th>Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization</th>
<th>Individual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Kim Griffin, RN</td>
<td>Registered Nurse at Children's Cardiology of the Bay Area</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date: 8/24/16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Lenny Mendes</td>
<td>Owner, Half Moon Bay Brewing Company</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date: 8/24/16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Stephanie Reyes</td>
<td>Deputy Director, Greenbelt Alliance</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date: 8/25/16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Warren Glown</td>
<td>San Mateo County Supervisor</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date: 8/25/16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Gene Mullin</td>
<td>Former California State Assembly Member</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date: 8/25/16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers

Bona Fide Association

Registered

N/A

Signed

Dated

Verified

N/A

Signed

Dated
Authorization Form
Change in Preparer, Submitter, or Signer of Rebuttal Arguments

PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. California Elections Code §9187, §9317, §9504

The undersigned author(s) hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) (up to five) to sign prepare, or submit (whichever is applicable) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against Measure K for the election to be held on November 8, 2016

(date of election)

I. NEW SIGNER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Kim Griffin, RN
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Lenny Mendonca
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Stephanie Reyes
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Warren Slocum
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Gene Mullin

II. NEW PREPARER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: ________________________________
Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: ________________________________

III. NEW SUBMITTER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: ________________________________
Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: ________________________________

NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):

Michael Lane 8/20/2016
Printed Name and Signature of Author Date

Printed Name and Signature of Author Date
Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure K

The time to address San Mateo County’s affordability crisis is now. Measure K allows us to do that – and much more – with NO increase in taxes.

When voters first approved additional funding in 2012, the great recession had cut critical services to the bone. San Mateo County addressed your priorities by restoring public safety and services for seniors, veterans, youth and families:

- A new 911 dispatch center that improves emergency services
- Major improvements to mental health services for adults and teens
- Expanded preschool programs so kids start school ready to learn

Today, the cost of living in San Mateo County has skyrocketed, and we cannot wait to address affordability – too many of our neighbors are being forced to move away or make impossible choices between housing and other necessities. Without raising taxes, Measure K allows us to:

- Provide affordable homes for seniors, people with disabilities, veterans and working families, including teachers and first responders
- Address impacts of climate change and sea level rise
- Maintain the critical work started on public safety, health care and parks improvements

By law, locally controlled Measure K funds cannot be taken away by the state. An independent oversight committee and annual audits will ensure that every penny is accounted for and spent locally on crucial San Mateo County services.

Join San Mateo County working families, seniors, businesses, affordable housing advocates, law enforcement officials, health care professionals and your neighbors in voting YES on Measure K to promote affordability and protect our unique quality of life with NO new taxes.

Word count: 240