Authorization Form

Change in Preparer, Submitter, or Signer of Rebuttal Argument

PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. EC § 9285

The undersigned author(s) hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) (up to five) to sign, prepare, or submit (whichever is applicable) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against Measure 1 for the election to be held on November 7, 2017.

I. NEW SIGNER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Brian Beswick
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: John Saucedo
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Ross Bruce
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Jennifer Pfaff
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Mary Hunt

II. NEW PREPARER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: 
Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: 

III. NEW SUBMITTER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: 
Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: 

NAME(S) AND SIGNATURE(S) OF PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):

Printed Name and Signature of Author: Janet Martin
Date: 8/28/17

Printed Name and Signature of Author: 
Date: 8/28/17

Date: 

Date:
Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than 5pm on August 29, 2016. These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure ______ for the ______ of ________ to be held on ________ 

☐ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure ______ ☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure ______

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

☐ Rebuttal Argument is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author’s name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person: Janet Martin Phone: 
Mailing Address: 
Fax: 
Email: 

Please complete the reverse side of this form.
Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer’s name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Legislative Body of the City of Burlingame</th>
<th>Member of the Legislative Body of the City of Burlingame</th>
<th>Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization</th>
<th>Individual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brian Beswick</td>
<td>Burlingame Businessman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross C. Bruce</td>
<td>Burlingame Businessman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Easton-Pfaff</td>
<td>Burlingame Community Volunteer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary L. Hunt</td>
<td>Beautification Comm. (Commissioned)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.
Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer’s name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Title:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Juan Saucedo</td>
<td>Central County Firefighter Paramedic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: [Redacted]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date: 8/28/2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Title:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Title:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Title:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Title:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.
Rebuttal to the Argument Against Measure I

The authors of the argument against Measure I are simply not qualified to address the issues facing our City. They do not live in Burlingame and have opposed virtually every local funding measure in San Mateo County over the last decade.

As business leaders, public safety experts and longtime Burlingame residents, we know that Measure I is the smart choice for a stronger, safer Burlingame.

Measure I is the fiscally responsible solution to fix our roads. Rather than waiting for costs to escalate as our roads deteriorate further, we need Measure I now to maintain our city streets and sidewalks, and repair potholes.

Strict accountability provisions ensure that the state and federal governments can’t touch Measure I. Every penny of Measure I must support essential city services like neighborhood police patrols, pothole repair and parks and recreation programs for youth, teens and seniors. Independent citizens’ oversight, mandatory financial audits and yearly reports to the community will ensure Measure I supports critical Burlingame services, and never city administrator salaries.

Groceries, medicine and other essentials are exempt from Measure I. Additionally, Measure I makes certain that Burlingame’s visitors pay their fair share for their impact on our roads.

Safe neighborhoods, strong schools and beautiful parks are integral to our strong quality of life here in Burlingame. Voting Yes on Measure I will enhance neighborhood police patrols, improve safe routes to school and maintain city streets and parks, keeping Burlingame safe and desirable.

Let’s not be misled by two out-of-town, out-of-touch voices. Please join us — vote Yes on Measure I.

www.BurlingameYesonI.org