



Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than 8/25/14.

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure J for the general to be held on 11/4/14.

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure J Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure _____

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person:	Phone:
Mailing Address:	
Fax:	Email:

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.
 Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.
 If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.
 By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual
--	--	--	------------

1. Name: Mark W.A. Hinkle	Title: silicon Valley President; Taxpayers Association	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Phone: [Redacted]	Email: [Redacted]				
	Date: 8/25/14				

2. Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Phone:	Email:				
Address:					
Signature:	Date:				

3. Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Phone:	Email:				
Address:					
Signature:	Date:				

4. Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Phone:	Email:				
Address:					
Signature:	Date:				

5. Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Phone:	Email:				
Address:					
Signature:	Date:				

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers	<input type="checkbox"/> Registered	N/A	Signed	Dated
Bona Fide Association	<input type="checkbox"/> Verified	N/A	Signed	Dated

Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor of the Jefferson Union High School District
\$133,000,000 Bond Issue: Measure J

9 Measure J will saddle taxpayers with huge new debt.

10 To service these loans and pay them back, Jefferson Union High School District must
13 either divert existing revenues from education services, or force all property owners to
3 pay additional taxes.

13 And servicing debt isn't cheap. A 3% interest rate on \$133,000,000 means paying
15 \$3,990,000 in annual interest for 25-30 years. **The total cost of the bond measure in**
8 **today's dollars? \$232,750,000 – or more, if dragged out.**

9 Jefferson Union High School District says they need \$133,000,000 in loans, to acquire
4 “up-to-date computers and technology.”

18 **Would you take out a 25-year loan to buy a computer that will be obsolete in 3-5**
8 **years? No? Nuts, right? But, that's *their* plan.**

15 The same rationale – technology – was used in 2006, to convince us they needed loans of
11 \$136,900,000. After eight years, that technology, hopefully, has been recycled already,
17 but we're still paying for it -- and will continue to do so, for a decade or more.

8
9 In 2012, voters granted Jefferson Union High School District permission for a
\$41,900,000 bond to “**continue renovating, equipping and constructing classrooms.**”

14 Now, just 2 years later, they say they need to “**repair and construct classrooms.**”

1 **Again?**

13 **What happened to that \$41,900,000?** Was construction so faulty that all that work
15 must be done over again? Did students destroy entire schools? Or was it just poor
1 execution?

9 Is this the best use of your tax dollars?

11 **If your answer is “no,” please vote NO on Measure J.**

1 Please visit: www.SVTaxpayers.org/2014-11-jefferson-union-hsd-bond

235

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.

MAF
By _____

