Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than 8/25/14.

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure I for the general to be held on 11/4/14.

☐ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure I ☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure ______

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

☑ Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author’s name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person: Phone:
Mailing Address:
Fax: Email:

Please complete the reverse side of this form.
Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer’s name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Title:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Mark W.A. Hinkle</td>
<td>President, Taxpayers Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S/25/14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers: [ ] Registered [ ] N/A [ ] Signed [ ] Dated
Bone Fide Association: [ ] Verified [ ] N/A [ ] Signed [ ] Dated
Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor of the Belmont-Redwood Shores School District
$48,000,000 Bond Issue: Measure I

9 Measure I will saddle taxpayers with huge new debt.

To service the loans and pay them back, Belmont-Redwood Shores School District must either divert existing revenues from education services, or force all property owners to pay additional taxes.

13 Servicing debt isn’t cheap. A 3% interest rate on this $48,000,000 means paying $1,440,000 in annual interest for 25-30 years. The total cost in today’s dollars? $84,000,000 – or more, if dragged out.

7 Belmont-Redwood Shores School District wants the $48,000,000 to acquire “up-to-date computers and technology.”

Would you take out a 25-year loan to buy a computer that’ll be obsolete in 3-5 years? No! Nuts, right? But that’s their plan.

In 2010, the District used the same rationale – technology -- to obtain a $35,000,000 bond issue. Why don’t they plan for technology in their regular budget?! Those computers have, hopefully, been recycled already, but because the District chose to fund them through long-term debt, we are still paying for them -- and will be for years and years.

Part of that 2010 bond was for “repairing aging schools” and to fix “leaking roofs.”

Now, just 4 years later, they want to “repair and maintain aging roofs.” Again?

What happened to that $35,000,000? Was construction so faulty that all of that work must be done over again just 4 years later? Did students destroy entire schools? Or was it just poor execution?

Is this the best use of our tax dollars?

If your answer is “no,” please vote NO on Measure I.

At some point, enough is enough!