



Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than _____.

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure H for the general to be held on 11/4/14.

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure H Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure _____

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person:	Phone:
Mailing Address:	
Fax:	Email:

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.
 Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.
 If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.
 By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual
--	--	--	------------

1.	Name: Mark W.A. Hinkle	Title: President; Silicon Valley Taxpayers Association	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
		8/25/14				

2.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				

3.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				

4.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				

5.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers	<input type="checkbox"/> Registered	N/A	Signed	Dated
Bona Fide Association	<input type="checkbox"/> Verified	N/A	Signed	Dated

Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor of the San Mateo Community College District
\$388,000,000 Bond Issue: Measure H

- 10 Measure H will saddle the District with huge new debt.
- 10 To service the loans and pay them back, San Mateo Community College District must
13 either divert existing revenues being used for education services, or force all property
8 owners in the district to pay more taxes.
- 14 Servicing debt isn't cheap. A 3% interest rate on a \$388,000,000 bond means paying
15 \$11,640,000 in annual interest for 25-30 years. **The total cost of the bond measure in**
13 **today's dollars? \$679,000,000 – more if not paid back in the first 25 years.**
- 12 The District says it needs \$388,000,000 to “upgrade computer, biotechnology and job
2 training facilities.”
- 18 **Would you take out a 25-year loan to buy a computer that's going to be obsolete in**
10 **3-5 years? No? Nuts right? But, that's the District's plan.**
- 16 Having brand new classrooms is nice for the students and teachers, but does that add any
7 real value to their education? Clearly no.
- 14 The District claims it wants to make sure classrooms meet “earthquake, first and safety
15 requirements.” If this were true, then why haven't they taken care of this before allowing
15 students to use the facilities? If this concern were legitimate, then they should not be
19 using the facilities at all, until they are safe. If the facilities are safe, then this cannot be a
2 legitimate concern.
- 9 Is this the best use of our tax dollars?
- 11 **If your answer is “no,” please vote NO on Measure H.**
- 6 At some point, enough is enough!
- 4 For more information: www.SVTaxpayers.org/2014-11-san-mateo-county-community-college-district-bond

243

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.

