Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the author(s) submitting it, or, if submitted on behalf of an organization, the name of the organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers who is the author of the argument; and the form statement provided in Elections Code section 9600 signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than 5pm on August 28, 2017. These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure C for the Election to be held on November 7, 2017

☑ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure C ☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

☐ Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author’s name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person: Sue Vaterlaus
Phone:
Mail: 3518 Solano Avenue, Pacifica
Fax:
Email:

Please complete the reverse side of this form.
Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer’s name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the rebuttal argument in favor or against ballot proposition ___ (name or number) at the Consolidated Special Municipal, School and Special District Election for the City of Pacifica to be held on November 7, 2017 hereby state that this argument is true and correct to the best of their (his/her) knowledge and belief.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Legislative Body of the City of Pacifica</th>
<th>Member of the Legislative Body of the City of Pacifica</th>
<th>Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization</th>
<th>Individual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Donna J. Wagner</td>
<td>Pacifica Renter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Kathleen Moresco</td>
<td>Stop the Hidden Tax - No on C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Roy Stotts</td>
<td>41 year Pacifica Resident</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Susan Vellone</td>
<td>Small Business owner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>David W. Ahlquist</td>
<td>Retired TEAMSTER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.
Authorization Form
Change in Preparer, Submitter, or Signer of Rebuttal Arguments

PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. EC 9285

I. NEW SIGNER(S):
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Donna Wagner, Pacifica Renter
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Kathleen Moresco, Stop the Hidden Tax. No one
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Ray Stotts, 41 year resident
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Susan Vellone, /Sm business owner
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: David Ahlquist, retired teamster

II. NEW PREPARER(S):
Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: Kathleen Moresco
Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: Ray Stotts

III. NEW SUBMITTER(S):
Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: Kathleen Moresco
Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: Ray Stotts

AME(S) AND SIGNATURE(S) OF PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):

Signed Name and Signature
Date: 8/28/17

Signed Name and Signature
Date: 8/28/17

Signed Name and Signature of Author
Date: 8-28-17
"Arguments in support or opposition of the proposed laws are the opinions of the authors."

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure C

Measure C is a hidden tax on homeowners. Vote No.

Pacifica is already in poor financial shape. Measure C will further burden our City’s finances with huge new legal fees. Backers of Measure C even admit that Pacifica taxpayers will foot the bill.

A huge new government bureaucracy is established under Measure C. This unelected body is not accountable to taxpayers and has an unlimited budget and a direct pipeline into the City’s General Fund.

Measure C will degrade our neighborhoods:

- It will allow up to 16 people to live in a 900 square foot – 2 bedroom apartment, along with all of their cars parked in our neighborhoods.

- It will make it nearly impossible to evict problem tenants from our neighborhoods. Those who engage in dangerous activities, harass or disrupt their neighbors, deal drugs and brandish weapons are virtually given lifetime leases.

- Measure C will impact single-family homes in Pacifica. Backers of Measure C are working behind the scenes to include single-family homes. Measure C can be changed at any time by the city council to include single-family homes, without voter approval.

Economic experts contend that Measure C could cost Pacifica closer to $2,000,000. Yet, Measure C ONLY raises $500,000 a year. Where’s the other $1,500,000 coming from? City services: street repair, police, fire, parks, and beach clean-up are all in danger of being cut. And, when the City of Pacifica can’t cut enough of our city services, Pacifica taxpayers will be saddled with the bill.

Vote No. www.No-MeasureC.com