



OFFICE OF  
**ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS**  
**REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION**  
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

### Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words

Ballot Measure B for the Special Election to be held on 11-2-21.

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure B       Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure \_\_\_\_\_

#### Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and **skip** the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

Contact Person's Printed Name:

MARK HINKLE

Phone:

[REDACTED]

Email:

[REDACTED]

#### Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)

Contact Person's Printed Name:

Phone:

Email:

**Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.**

**Please complete the reverse side of this form.**

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402

P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.org web www.smcacre.org

**Menlo Park City School District \$598 Parcel Tax: Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor of Measure B**

With this latest “**temporary**” parcel tax, the Menlo Park City School District claims they need a tax increase to continue providing “**high quality educational programs**”.

Have they earned this extension that will cost you over \$7,176 over the next 12 years (on top of the taxes you are already paying)?

Let's look at latest academic performance:

2019-20 school year results: 15.93% below grade level for English.

2019-20 school year results: 17.49% below grade for math.

The District rewarded students, parents, and taxpayers for the passage of the 2017 parcel tax by dropping proficiency in English and miniscule 1.67% improvement in math!

Source: California Department of Education Data Partnership – [www.ed-data.org](http://www.ed-data.org)

Should you, the voters, reward the district for declining academics?

If no, we encourage you to vote **NO on Measure B.**

**This District is already spending \$19,990 per student per year, which is 148% ABOVE the statewide average (\$13,489), while providing average education. Yet, they want more of your hard-earned money to pad their salaries (averaging \$106,988 plus up to \$13,250 in benefits) and fat pension plans.**

They claim no funds will be used for administration. But revenues increased over the last five years (\$12,215,610) can be used for administration expenses without limits.

They say this tax will raise \$4,600,000, but revenues are already up \$12,215,610 in the last 5 years. They clearly don't need the tax revenues.

Vote **NO on Measure B.**

**If you reward failure, you will get more failure!**

For more information, please visit us at [www.svtaxpayers.org](http://www.svtaxpayers.org)

238

**FILED** IN THE OFFICE OF THE  
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER  
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

**AUG 23 2021**

By:   
DEPUTY CLERK