Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words

Ballot Measure _______ for the _______ Special Municipal Election _______ to be held on Nov 2, 2021 _______

☐ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure    ☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure

Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and skip the back side of this form.

☑ Rebuttal Argument is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

Contact Person’s Printed Name:

Don Pugh

Phone: _______ Email: _______

Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize any other person or persons to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).

☐ Rebuttal Argument is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)

Contact Person’s Printed Name:

Phone: _______ Email: _______

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402

P 650.312.5222  F 650.312.5348  email registrar@smcacre.org  web www.smcacre.org
Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Print information clearly.

1. Name: Don Pugh
   Phone: 
   Address: Woodside, CA 94062
   Signature: 
   Date: Aug 11, 2021
   Title: Author Measure J
   Email: 

2. Name: 
   Phone: 
   Address: 
   Signature: 
   Date: 
   Title: 
   Email: 

3. Name: 
   Phone: 
   Address: 
   Signature: 
   Date: 
   Title: 
   Email: 

4. Name: 
   Phone: 
   Address: 
   Signature: 
   Date: 
   Title: 
   Email: 

5. Name: 
   Phone: 
   Address: 
   Signature: 
   Date: 
   Title: 
   Email: 

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.
Rebuttal to Argument in favor of Measure A

The fundamental question is: Do we want Woodside to continue to be a pleasant rural community without crowds of tourists and outsiders overwhelming our peace and quiet? Or do we allow more commercial activity so the Bakery owners can make money off them?

Outdoor dining can be continued without need for any changes, as long as the number of seats is restricted to the available parking as per town code. More parking spots will generate more expansion, congestion and traffic.

Why do we need to spend thousands of dollars on an amphitheater that will attract crowds of tourists when we have plenty of gathering facilities in Woodside? Independence Hall is available for community groups. The Hub at the Village Church is a beautiful meeting spot. The amphitheater at the school can be rented for larger gatherings. Huddart Park has facilities for large groups. Many residents prefer to have events in their backyards.

The folks sponsoring this initiative do not seem to have a good understanding of the history and culture of Woodside, and have now forced the Town to spend nearly $80,000 on this election.

The future of Woodside is in your votes. Do you want to allow commercial interests to eat away at our rural environment? Or will you stand with the many voters who supported Measure J to Limit Commercial Development to keep Woodside rural?

I ask you to vote NO on this measure.

Don Pugh
Woodside resident since 1972
Author of Measure J
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