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Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words

Ballot Measure __________________ for the General __________________ to be held on 11-3-2020 _________________.
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Phone: ______________________ Email: ______________________

Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument
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☐ Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)
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Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor of Measure RR

The proponents of Measure RR are again misleading the public. Caltrain is not an essential part of our transportation network, public transportation is changing, working from home is the new norm, and autonomous vehicles are our future. It does not make economic sense to invest in an obsolete & dying system. Even post pandemic, Cal Train ridership will never be what it was.

Please note that majority of the signers in favor are essentially “aging politicians"......receiving and living off public dollars.... your taxes.

Again, they want to raise our taxes...they never operate within their means.... with their steadily increasing salaries and fully paid pensions, they now again, they want more.

You and I do not use Cal Train. The majority of Caltrain riders are the highest wage earners on the peninsula, making 6 figure salaries.

But they want "us" to subsidize their commute cost!

Cal Train needs to manage within their existing budget...with a CEO 's salary two times larger than our Governor...where is their moral compass? Their focus should be on the future transportation needs...not trying to save a dinosaur... and certainly ...not burdening us with more taxes.

JUST SAY NO to more regressive taxes that only harm the average wage earner and our children.

Let those high-end riders - FAT CATS, pay their own commute costs...if they want this old dilapidated system.

We should focus on preparing for the future.

Please join us in voting NO on Measure RR

Do not be railroaded!

For more information: www.SVTaxpayers.org