Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words

Ballot Measure: Q for the General (city) to be held on 11-3-2020

☑ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Q ☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure

Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and skip the back side of this form.

☑ Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

Contact Person’s Printed Name:

MARK HINKLE

Phone: [Redacted] Email: [Redacted]

Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize any other person or persons to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).

☐ Rebuttal Argument is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)

Contact Person’s Printed Name:

Phone: Email:

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402

P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.org web www.smcacre.org
Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Print information clearly.

1. Name: MARK W. A. HINCKLE
   Phone:
   Address:  
   Signature:  
   Date: 8-9-2020

   Title: President, Silicon Valley Taxpayers Association
   Email:  

2. Name:
   Phone:
   Address:
   Signature:
   Date:

3. Name:
   Phone:
   Address:
   Signature:
   Date:

4. Name:
   Phone:
   Address:
   Signature:
   Date:

5. Name:
   Phone:
   Address:
   Signature:
   Date:

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.
Rebuttal Argument: Daly City Sales Tax Increase: Measure Q

This proposed sales tax increase (9.75%) says it is to "provide emergency funding", but it is a permanent tax increase.

They project this sales tax revenues of "$6,000,000 annually".

In other words, this sales tax increase is NOT an emergency, but just an ordinary bait and switch tax increase. Emergencies do not last forever, but this tax will if passed.

But, if these projects are truly Daly City Council priorities, why are they NOT in the current budget?

Budgets are how governments sets spending priorities.

Clearly "fire and 911 emergency" and "addressing homelessness" are not priorities according to their current budget. Nor is "preparing for natural disaster and public health emergencies".

Really?? Do you agree??

When governments put tax increases on the ballot, they are indirectly saying everything in our current budget is MORE important than the projects supposedly to be funded by this sales tax increase.

Sales tax increases will continue to drive more purchases to Internet businesses, where sales taxes are not collected at all and revenues will be stripped from local businesses, further hurting the local economy and the jobs they provide! It will hurt those on fixed incomes the hardest, i.e. the poor, the retired elderly, the disabled, and those on fixed incomes.

Sales taxes are regressive taxes that hurt the poor the most.

We taxpayers cannot live beyond our means and neither should our government.

Tell them to be responsible by voting NO on Measure Q.

www.svtaxpayers.org