



# Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than 8-27-18.

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure Z for the General to be held on 11-6-18.

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Z       Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure \_\_\_\_\_

### Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

### Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person:	Phone:
Mailing Address:	
Fax:	Email:

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

**Primary Argument Signers Form**

Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, there is no requirement that they be eligible to vote on the measure. However, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member(s) of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual(s) eligible to vote on the measure
--	---	--	---

1.	Name: <b>MARK W.A. HINKLE</b>	Title: <b>President: Silicon Valley Taxpayers Association</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone: [Redacted]	Email: [Redacted]				
	Address: [Redacted] <b>Morgan Hill, CA 95037</b>					
	Signature: [Redacted]	Date: <b>8-26-2018</b>				
2.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				
3.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				
4.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				
5.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				

**Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.**

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers	<input type="checkbox"/> Registered	N/A	Signed	Dated
Bona Fide Association	<input type="checkbox"/> Verified	N/A	Signed	Dated

**SILICON VALLEY TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION**

Dedicated to protecting the rights & interests of the taxpayers of  
Silicon Valley against the over-reaching & over-spending of government

*MARK W.A. HINKLE*

*MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS*

WEB: [WWW.SVTAXPAYERS.ORG](http://WWW.SVTAXPAYERS.ORG)

MAILING ADDRESS: [REDACTED]

SAN JOSÉ, CA 95118

MAIN: [REDACTED]

DIRECT: [REDACTED]

E-MAIL: [REDACTED]

**Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor of Portola Valley School District \$49.5M Bond**  
**- Measure Z**

In the last 20 years, the Portola Valley School District has spent \$23,000,000 plus principle and interest payments to "renovate" and "construct" and provide "tech".

Now they want almost two and half times that to do essentially the same thing all over again.

That's got to be some kind of record for "renovation & construction" deterioration!!!

You get the feeling that the district just "cut and pasted" the wording from the two previous bond ballot arguments?

Everyone knows, especially in Silicon Valley, that technology today will be obsolete in 5-6 years, or sooner.

So, who in their right mind would buy technology, on credit, i.e. via a bond, and pay it off over 25-30 years? No one, right?

Answer: except you, if you vote for Measure Z!

The 1998 technology that the district bought then with that bond money is undoubtedly already been recycled, but the payments, that you're still paying for, will continue on for years.

**Vote NO on Measure Z to stop this fiscal insanity.**

And the student enrollment is dropping, so why the need for more money?

From 2012-13 school year enrollment was 671 and now it's down to 627.

**The district wants to spend \$49,500,000 to help 627 students at a cost of \$78,947 per student plus the ADA funding of \$23,833 per student that they get every year.**

The district is already getting 204% of the average California ADA.

Just say NO to fiscal insanity, vote NO on Measure Z / 10

For more information: visit our website at [www.SVTaxpayers.org/2018-sanmateo-measure-z](http://www.SVTaxpayers.org/2018-sanmateo-measure-z) 8

248

**FILED** IN THE OFFICE OF THE  
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER  
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.

AUG 27 2018

MARK CHURCH, Chief Elections Officer

By: [Redacted Signature]

DEPUTY CLERK