Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than _________________.

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure __________________ for the __________________ to be held on Nov. 6, 2018.

☐ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure ______  X Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure ______

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

☐ Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author’s name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person: __________________________ Phone: __________________________

Mailing Address: __________________________ Oakland, CA 94611

Fax: __________________________ Email: __________________________

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402
P 650.312.5222  F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.org web www.smcacre.org
Authorization Form
Change in Preparer, Submitter, or Signer of Rebuttal Arguments

PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. California Elections Code §9167, §9317, §9504

The undersigned author(s) hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) (up to five) to sign prepare, or submit (whichever is applicable) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against Measure _____for the election to be held on ______________________

(date of election)

I. NEW SIGNER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:

II. NEW PREPARER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: ________________________________

Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: ________________________________

III. NEW SUBMITTER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: ________________________________

Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: ________________________________

NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):

Mary Louise Johnson AKA Mary Ann Johnson

7/24/2018

James P. Ruane AKA Jim Ruane

7/24/18
Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer’s name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District</th>
<th>Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District</th>
<th>Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization</th>
<th>Individual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. FRANK E. Hedley</td>
<td>Retired San Bruno City Manager</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐ 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Lawrence E. Frandella</td>
<td>Former Mayor of San Bruno</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐ 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Judith D. Puccini</td>
<td>Former San Mateo County Juvenile Justice Commissioner</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐ 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Kenneth Jbarra</td>
<td>Former San Bruno City Council Member</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐ 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Charles Russell Hanley</td>
<td>Retired San Bruno Park School District Teacher</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐ 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers         ☐ Registered     N/A  Signed  Dated
Bona Fide Association ☐ Verified     N/A  Signed  Dated
Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure X

Not only does the sole opponent of Measure X deliberately mislead voters with inaccurate, untruthful statements, the author lives in Morgan Hill and has submitted similar arguments against three other school districts. He does not know San Bruno does not own property here nor does he have children in our local schools.

Here are the truthful facts:

- The last bond passed for the San Bruno Park School District was in 1998 - twenty years ago and paid for the most critical repairs needed at the time.
- San Bruno schools are now 50-76 years old and classrooms and science labs are in need of renovation and improvement.
- Measure X was placed on the ballot after a year long study where each school and classroom was evaluated with broad community engagement.
- All money raised by Measure X will stay in our community and will improve our chances to receive State matching funds, thus lowering costs for taxpayers.

Repairs to schools will be made based on seriousness of the need with health and safety of children the number one priority.

Measure X will:
- Improve student safety by updating emergency communications systems, smoke detectors, fire alarms, and sprinklers needed in emergencies
- Bring electrical, plumbing and water systems up to current legal and safety codes
- Replace deteriorating roofs
- Upgrade classrooms, labs, and technology infrastructure
- Improve access to school facilities for students with disabilities

Measure X has broad local community support, including educators, elected officials, retirees, senior citizens, alumni, and business leaders who know that good schools help maintain property values and attract businesses to San Bruno.

YES on Measure X to fix our San Bruno schools.

Frank Hedley, Retired San Bruno City Manager/Police Chief
Larry Franzella, Former Mayor of San Bruno
Judith, Puccini, Former San Mateo County Juvenile Justice Commissioner
Ken Ibarra, Former San Bruno City Council Member
Russ Hanley, Retired San Bruno Park School District Teacher