



Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

CLERK AUG 15 PM 3:05

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than _____.

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure 10 for the vdel to be held on 11/3/15.

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure 10 Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure _____

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person:	Phone:
Mailing Address:	
Fax:	Email:

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form			Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the <u>one</u> box that applies:			
<p>No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.</p> <p>Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.</p> <p>If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.</p> <p>By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.</p>			Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual
1.	Name: Mark W.A. Hinkle	Title: President, Silicon Valley Taxpayers Association	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]				
	Address: [REDACTED]					
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: [REDACTED]				
2.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				
3.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				
4.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				
5.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers	<input type="checkbox"/> Registered	N/A	Signed	Dated
Bona Fide Association	<input type="checkbox"/> Verified	N/A	Signed	Dated

CLERK
06/24/15 PM 3:26

Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor of South San Francisco Sales Tax Measure W

The South San Francisco City Council had funds for a downtown parking garage, fire station, recreation building, maintenance yard, and countless improvements to City parks, even in recent downturns without raising taxes.

Why can't they prioritize capital projects specified in Measure W without raising taxes?

Budgets reveal an organization's true priorities. The South San Francisco City Council is **saying that every dollar they spend today is going to something they consider a higher priority than to "address... street repairs."**

Do you agree?

If street maintenance is a priority, doesn't it deserve a spot in the City's annual budget?

Isn't street maintenance an essential city service?

The answer is clear: It's not a priority for the South San Francisco City Council.

Tell City Hall to get their priorities straight by voting NO on W.

The City Council says it is "worrying about future budget cuts," but perhaps they should look at the budget they passed:

2013-14 revenues of \$121,644,042, versus
2015-16 revenues of \$126,300,000.

That's a **\$4,655,958 increase in revenues**. Since when is an **increase in revenues** a "budget cut"?

There are two ways to balance a budget:

1. Increase revenues.
2. Cut expenses.

But the City Council has **increased revenues AND increased expenses.**

From the 2014-15 adopted budget, revenues are UP \$16,014,782, but the **big spenders have increased expenses by a whopping \$23,828,603.**

Where is the "budget cut"?

If you can spend your money more wisely than the big spenders on the City Council, you should vote NO on Measure W.

For more information: www.SVTaxpayers.org/2015-south-san-francisco-sales-tax