### Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words

Ballot Measure [AA] for the City of South San Francisco to be held on November 8, 2022

- [ ] Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure
- [X] Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure [AA]

### Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and skip the back side of this form.

- [ ] Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

  Contact Person's Printed Name: ____________________________

  Phone: ____________________________ Email: ____________________________

### Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize any other person or persons to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).

- [X] Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)

  Contact Person's Printed Name: ____________________________

  Phone: ____________________________ Email: ____________________________

*Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.*

*Please complete the reverse side of this form.*

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402

P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5346 email registrar@smcacre.org web www.smcacre.org
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer’s name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Print information clearly.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **1. Name:** Mark Neal Addiego  
 **Phone:**  
 **Address:**  
 **Signature:**  
 **Date:** 8-26-2022 | **Title:** City Councilmember  
 **Email:**  |
| **2. Name:** Pradeep C Gupta  
 **Phone:**  
 **Address:**  
 **Signature:**  
 **Date:** 8/26/2020 | **Title:** Former Mayor  
 **Email:**  |
| **3. Name:** John R. Perin  
 **Phone:**  
 **Address:**  
 **Signature:**  
 **Date:** 8/26/22 | **Title:** Buonassissi  
 **Email:**  |
| **4. Name:** William E Zemke  
 **Phone:**  
 **Address:**  
 **Signature:**  
 **Date:** 8/26/22 | **Title:** Resident  
 **Email:**  |
| **5. Name:** Edith Arias  
 **Phone:**  
 **Address:**  
 **Signature:**  
 **Date:** 8/28/22 | **Title:** Resident  
 **Email:**  |

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.
Authorization Form for
Change in Signers of Rebuttal Arguments

Pursuant to California Elections Code §§9167, 9317 and 9504, the author(s) of the primary argument in favor of or against a measure may authorize in writing any other person or persons to sign the rebuttal argument.

The undersigned author(s) of the primary argument hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) to sign (up to five) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against (circle one) Measure AA for the Election to be held on 11/08/2022:

(date of election)

NEW SIGNER(S) (PRINT CLEARLY):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Mark Neal Addiego

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Pradeep C Gupta

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: John R. Penna

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: William E. Zemke

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Edith Arias

(The new signers listed here must sign the Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form)

NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF THE PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):

James Coleman [redacted]

Printed Name and Signature of Author [redacted]

08/29/2022 Date

Printed Name and Signature of Author

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402
P 650.312.5222  F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.org web www.smcacre.org
Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure AA

A “yes” vote on Measure AA will allow the City of South San Francisco to take control back from the State over the investment of city funds in creating affordable housing for seniors, young families, veterans, persons with disabilities and essential workers in healthcare, public safety, and other important industries.

The City has an affordable housing fund projected to exceed $120 million over the next 15 years. These fees paid by developers - not residents - which the State says we can’t use directly to build housing. Approval of Measure AA would eliminate this barrier and give us more control locally.

Currently, the City must partner with non-profit housing developers by assisting them financially, and occasionally providing land, but this investment ultimately is held by the non-profit, giving limited control to the City over operations, maintenance, security, and providing services.

Rotary Plaza with 179 homes and Rotary Terrace in downtown South San Francisco with 81 homes are two examples of quality nonprofit owned affordable housing allowing seniors an opportunity to stay in town. But a group like Rotary can only do so much, and the city could do more without State limitations.

A majority of South San Franciscans are home owners who successfully manage their mortgages and home finances. The City should have the same ability to create, own, and operate housing for our most vulnerable neighbors.

Please join us in voting yes on Measure AA to directly invest City funds into the creation of much-needed new affordable housing. No one knows better what South City needs than our community.