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Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words

Ballot Measure \( R \) for the \( G E N E R A L \) to be held on \( 11-8-22 \).

\( \checkmark \) Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure \( R \) \( \quad \square \) Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure

Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and skip the back side of this form.

\( \square \) Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

Contact Person’s Printed Name:

Phone: __________________________ Email: __________________________

Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize any other person or persons to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).

\( \checkmark \) Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)

Contact Person’s Printed Name:

\( M A R K ~ H I N G L E \)

Phone: __________________________ Email: __________________________

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402
P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.org web www.smcacre.org
### Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer’s name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Print information clearly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark W.A. Hinkle</td>
<td>President, Silicon Valley Taxpayers Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christopher C Minnelli</td>
<td>Chair Pro Tem, Libertarian Party of San Mateo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honor M Robson</td>
<td>Chair, Libertarian Party of California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.
Authorization Form for 
Change in Signers of Rebuttal Arguments

Pursuant to California Elections Code §§9167, 9317 and 9504, the author(s) of the primary argument in favor of or against a measure may authorize in writing any other person or persons to sign the rebuttal argument.

The undersigned author(s) of the primary argument hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) to sign (up to five) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against (circle one) Measure B for the Election to be held on 11-8-22:

(date of election)

NEW SIGNER(S) (PRINT CLEARLY):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Honor "Mimi" Robson

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Chris Minofetti

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:

(The new signers listed here must sign the Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form)

NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF THE PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):

MARK HINCKLE

Printed Name and Signature of Author

Date

Printed Name and Signature of Author

Date
Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor of La Honda-Pescadero $15M Bond – Measure R

TEXT STARTS HERE

Proponents listed five bullet points to push this bond debt. None of them mentions ... EDUCATION!

Wonder why?

LHPUSD is failing dismally at that primary mission.

Reviewing its academic performance levels...

- 57.06% of students tested below grade level in English;
- 68.82% tested below in math!

Source: Ed-Data.org

Those are 2018–19’s results — the latest reported. Why nothing more recent?

Because, we suspect, they are even worse — owing to children’s learning loss during shutdowns.

2020’s $130 x 7 years’ parcel tax was supposed to “increase student achievement.”

Two years in, is it working?

Who knows? LHPUSD’s figures aren’t showing up.

Now, without progress reports, LHPUSD wants $15 MILLION, to “provide the education that students deserve.”

We think children’s education and safety are so crucial they should be secured in the annual budget, not an emergency treating taxpayers like ATMs and saddling generations with debt.

This time, vote NO, because:

- Enrollment is declining steadily (down 11% since 2018–19).
• LHPUSD spends $23,495/student annually, a whopping 151% of the statewide average. (How do other districts manage?!) It is time to consolidate classrooms, retain the very best teachers, and save taxpayers money — not splurge on “modernization.”

When enrollment shrinks, an experienced, capable school board should act. LHPUSD’s homework: tighten the budget accordingly (just as families do!) and strategize how to restructure.

Don’t reward failure, with your and your neighbors’ hard-earned money.

Vote NO on Measure R.

You can be for schools, for students, for teachers — and AGAINST Measure R.

Learn more: www.SVTaxpayers.org

TEXT ENDS HERE