

Rebuttal to Argument in favor of Measure E:

It's easy to like ice cream, but that doesn't mean it's particularly good for you. 15

The only people Measure E is good for is the City Council and their high priced consultants. 17

They say there is no funding for rehabilitation without widening. This is simply not true. 15
(https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B4KqN1V_dc9VMko3dXplX3lOYTQ/edit?usp=sharing) 1

They say the bridge is at risk of collapse. This is preposterously untrue. 13

Caltrans' inspector is so comfortable with the bridge that he wrote in his 2013 inspection that the bridge "has been deemed capable of carrying all legal loads." (Horses, buggies, farmer's tractors, and yes, even busses too.) What bridge inspector, in his right mind, would suggest that a bridge in danger of failure can safely carry 40 tons day in and day out, without issues? 17

Think about it, if the Council were sincere about your opinion they would have asked you what you thought before spending \$500,000 of your money, with nothing to show for it. *Every single person* who has appeared in front of the Council has pleaded for an intelligent and fiscally responsible rehabilitation. 17
16
16

Measure E, and Measure F, remain nothing more than the City Council's obvious and odious attempt to confuse you, the voter, and to insure that they will not need to face the consequences of their actions as they run for reelection this November. 15
18
10

Don't let them get away with it. Vote NO on Measure E and the City Council's games, and YES on Measure F, and the right of the people to govern. 18
10

www.hmbbridge.com 1

249 words

I authorize the following as signatories on the rebuttal argument for/against Measure E.

Name: GEORGE GORE

Name: JOHN LSWICK

Name:


Name:

Name:

Dated March 24, 2014

By:

DAVID CARROLL



Date:

3.24.2014