


RECEIVED AUG 2 4 2018 BELMONT CITY CLERK



PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. California Elections Code §9285(a)(2), §9317

The undersigned author(s) hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) (up to five) to sign prepare, or submit (whichever is applicable) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against Measure _____ for the election to be held on (date of election) Nov 6 2018 I. NEW SIGNER(S): Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Andrea Campton_____ Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Jon Freeman_____ Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:_____ Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Harland Harrison_ Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Chris Yonts_ II. NEW PREPARER(S): Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: ______ III. NEW SUBMITTER(S): Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF PRIMARY ARCUMENT AUTHOR(S): Harland Harrison Printed Name and Signature of Author Printed Name and Signature of Author Date



Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

A ballot argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

Ballo	ot Measure_ ^{KK}	for the	to be held on					
✓ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of ☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against								
This argument is submitted by: (check all that apply)								
	The Legislative Body of the City of Belmont If this argument is filed by the legislative body of the City of Belmont, fill in the name of the governing body below and fill in both sides of this							
	Legislative Body:							
	Contact Person's Printed Name:		Contact Person's Signature:					
	Title:							
	Phone:		Email:					
	Member(s) of the Legislative Body of the City of Belmont If this argument is filed by any member(s) of the legislative body, fill in the information below and complete both sides of this form.							
	Member(s) of the Legislative Body	r:	Name of Legislative Body:					
	Contact Person's Printed Name:		Contact Person's Signature:					
	Title:							
	Phone:		Email:					
V	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization If this argument is filed by a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the signers of the argument must be affiliated with the association/organization, be authorized to sign the argument on its behalf, provide the printed name and signature of at least one principal officer of the organization, and complete both sides of this form.							
	Name of Association/Organization: Libertarian Party of San Mateo County CA							
	Principal Officer's Printed Name a	nd Title: Harland Harrison, Cha	Principal Office of the last o					
	Contact Person's Printed Name:	Harland Harrison	Email:					
	Phone:		Fax:					
	Individual(s) eligible to vote on the measure Individual signers must be eligible to vote on the measure.							
	Contact Person:		Phone:					
	Mailing Address:							
	Fax:		Email:					

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form				Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.			
No more than five signatures shall appear with submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.	Andrew Control	Body		e e			
Names and titles listed will be printed in the ord	d √			e on			
If the signers are part of a bona fide association	e Cit	egisla It	n of	to vot			
they be eligible to vote on the measure. However	y of t	the I	ciatio	gible			
under the signer's name shall list the name of the may include their position within that association	e Bod	s) of y of B	Asso Organ	(s) eli			
By signing below, the undersigned state that th	Legislative Body of the City of Belmont	Member(s) of the Legislative of the City of Belmont	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual(s) eligible to vote on the measure			
to be false or misleading.	Leg	Me	Citi	nd me			
1. Name: Andrea Campton	Title: Belmont Voter				V		
Phone:	Email:						
Address:							
Signature:	Belmont, CA 94002 Date: 8-24-18						
2. Name: Chris Yonts	Title: Belmont Voter			П	I		
Phone:	Fmaile						
Address:	BELMONT 94002						
Sign	Date: /						
Name:	Title: 3 / 24 / 18						
3. Jon Freeman	Belmont Voter Email:				V		
Address: Be W							
Signature	Date: \$\\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \						
4. Name:	Title:						
Phone:	Email:						
Address:							
Signature:	Date:						
5. Name: Harland Harrison	Title: Chair, Libertarian Party of San Mateo County CA		П	7	П		
Phone:	Email:						
Address: Belmont Ca							
Signature:	Date: 8/24/2018						
Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.							
	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY						
Signers Registered Bona Fide Association Verified		Dated Dated					

Rebuttal To Argument In Favor Of Measure KK

The Belmont Transient Occupancy Tax should not be raised; it should be abolished!

What kind of people would tax a guest? Tax the visitor? Tax the traveler? Don't tax the rich; tax the homeless family in a motel? Don't tax the mansion; tax your neighbor who has to rent a bedroom? Really?

Yes, the Transient Occupancy Tax taxes her for renting space in her own home! The Argument in Favor falsely claims that Belmont residents do not pay this tax. Be honest! The Impartial Analysis explains it; not only does a resident renting a room pay this tax, Measure KK actually increases what she pays through AirB&B. The Resolution brags about "making AirB&b users pay their fair share". So what on Earth is the government's "fair share" of letting somebody sleep on her couch?

Sure, the rate has not changed since 1978. Of course not. It is a percentage! The government's take has already gone way up as inflation increased the price of a room. The City does not deserve a bigger share; they are getting more, and more, already.

Politicians think we will vote to tax anybody but ourselves. They think we will make somebody else pay. They think we are so selfish we cannot see through their scheme.

The Belmont Transient Occupancy Tax should not be raised; it should be abolished!

What on Earth is the government's fair share of somebody sleeping on your couch?

What kind of people tax their guests?

The Belmont Transient Occupancy Tax is wrong. You can stop it.

Vote No on Measure KK