Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the author(s) submitting it, or, if submitted on behalf of an organization, the name of the organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers who is the author of the argument; and the form statement provided in Elections Code section 9600 signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument.

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than 5pm on August

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

23, 2010. These rules apply to all reputtal arguments unless a reputtal argument is otherwise provided by law.						
Ballot Measure for the SECTION to be held on 11/8/20/6.						
Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure						
Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet						
If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.						
Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet						
Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument						
If the rebuttal argument is signed by <u>anyone</u> different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.						
Contact Person:						
tkic tuchtimes						
Mailing Adds						
Fax:						

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form						they are s	er must desig Igning, Check			
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization. By signing below, the undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the rebuttal argument (in favor of/against) ballot proposition (name or number) at the General Municipal election for the City of Pacifica to be held on November 8, 2016 hereby state that this argument is true and correct to the best of Theorem (his/her/their) knowledge and belief.						Legislative Body of the City of Barofica Pacifica	Member of the Legislative Body of the City of Pacifica	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual	
1.	Name: MIKE RA	Y	Title: CERT	ified P	BLIC 1	Accountant				
			Date:	8/28	elle					
2.	raditie:		Title:							
Pho	ne:		Email:							
Adda	ess;		<u> </u>							
Sign	ture:		Date:							
3.	Name:		Title:		NE					
Phor	e:		Email:							
Address:										
Signa	ture:		Date:							
4.	Name:		Title:						\Box	
Phon	e:		Email:							
Address:										
Signa	ture:		Date:							
5.	Name:		Title:							
Phon	ę:		Email:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Address:										
Signature: Date:										
Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.										
Signe	rs	Registered		FOR OFFICIAL N/A		igned	-מ	ted		
_	Fide Association	☐ Verified		N/A		igned		ted		

THE STATE OF STREET, THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form						er must desig igning. Check	the <u>one</u> box t	nat
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization. By signing below, the undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the rebuttal argument fin favor of/against) ballot proposition (name or number) at the General Municipal election for the City of Pacifica to be held on November 8, 2016 hereby state that this argument is true and correct to the best of (his/her/their) knowledge and belief.					Body of the City of	Member of the Legislative Body of the City of Pacifica	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual
1.	Name: MARGO MEIMA	<u></u>	Title: VOLUNTEER, PA	CIFEA BEACH				V
			Date: 8/29/2					
2.			Title:					
Phon	ne:		Email:					
Address:								
Signature:			Date:					
3.	Name:		Title:					
Phone:			Email:					
Address:								
Signature:			Date:					
4.	Name:		Title:					
Phone	e:		Email:				L 	
Address:								
Signature:			Date:					
5.	Name:		Title:					
Phone	e:		Email:				 	L
Address:						:		
Signat	Signature: Date:							
	Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.							
c: _			FOR OFFICIAL US					
Signer		gistered	N/A	Signed		ted		
34113 I	Fide Association	11160	N/A	Signed	Da	teď		

Authorization Form

Change in Preparer, Submitter, or Signer of Rebuttal Arguments

PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. EC 9285

The undersigned author(s) hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) (up to five) to sign, prepare, or submit (whichever is applicable) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of against Measure ______ for the election to be held on November 8, 2016.

I.	NEW SIGNER(S):							
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: VIRLINIA 6	INNY "JAQUITH						
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: BRUCE M.	BANCO						
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: ELLEN F.	· Ron						
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: MIKE	RAY						
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: MIKE Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: MARGO	MEIMAN						
II.	NEW PREPARER(S):							
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer:							
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer:							
III.	NEW SUBMITTER(S):							
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter:							
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter:							
NAME(S) AND SIGNATURE(The second of the sec								
GRIC	RUCHAMES	8/29/16						
		Date						
Printed Na	ame and Signature of Author	Date						

Arguments in support or opposition of the proposed laws are the opinions of the authors.

Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure N

The authors of the argument against Measure N don't have their facts straight and are attempting to deny current and future generations of children, teens, seniors and others the library we need.

Measure N is a thoroughly vetted plan to provide a modern library with free learning opportunities to Pacifica residents of all ages seven days per week.

The Measure N plan ensures our library is safe from sea-level rise, now and 50 years from now. An independent, third-party coastal hazard study and the California Coastal Commission support the library's location. Learn more here: http://pacificalibraryfoundation.com/CCC-Letter-supporting-Pacifica-Library.pdf

Measure N gives children and teens a safe place to go after school.

It funds a Homework Center, rooms for children's story time and expanded computer labs for those without access at home.

Current Pacifica libraries are closed part of the week.

Librarians must split their time between the two libraries, reducing access for all. Measure N supports one central library, open seven days a week, including weekends and after school.

Our libraries are 35 years old and in disrepair.

The libraries have leaky roofs, moldy walls, outdated wiring and antiquated computers. Extensive renovations are significantly more costly than the Measure N plan. Read the independent facility assessment here:

http://pacificalibraryfoundation.com/Library-Facility-Structural-Assessment-FINAL.pdf

Measure N is a responsible plan.

Annual independent financial audits, a citizen's oversight committee and public review of expenditures ensure that every penny is spent as promised.

Vote Yes on N: the right choice for our community, our library and our Pacifica.