

Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form

A ballot argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers. If the measure is presented to voters pursuant to an initiative petition in a special district election, please contact the Elections Office for special instructions.

Word count limit for Primary Arguments = 300										
Ballot	Measure W for the UDEL	to be held on $\frac{1/3/15^{-}}{}$.								
Primary Argument in Favor of Primary Argument Against										
This argument is submitted by: (check all that apply)										
	The Governing Body of the County of San Mateo, a School District, or a Special District If this argument is filed by the governing body of the County of San Mateo, a school district or a special district, fill in the name of the governing body on the line below and complete both sides of this form. Governing Body:									
	Contact Person's Printed Name:	Contact Person's Signature:								
-	Title:									
	Phone:	Email:								
	Member(s) of the Governing Body of the County of San Mateo, a School District, or a Special District if this argument is filed by any member(s) of the governing body, fill in the information below and complete both sides of this form. By members of school district governing boards need board authorization to file an argument. Member(s) of the Governing Body: Name of Governing Body:									
	Contact Person's Printed Name:	Contact Person's Signature:								
	Title:									
	Phone:	Email:								
	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization If this argument is filed by a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the signers of the argument must be affiliated with the association/organization, be authorized to sign the argument on its behalf, provide the printed name and signature of at least one principal officer of the organization, and complete both sides of this form. Name of Association/Organization: Silican Vallay Taxpara Association/Organization: Principal Officer's Printed Name and Title: Mark W. A. Hinkler: Presider T Contact Person's Printed Name: Em									
	Phone	Fa)								
	Individual(s) eligible to vote on the measure									
	Individual signers must be eligible to vote on the measure. Contact Person:	Phone:								
	ailing Address:									
	Fax:	Email:								

Primary Argument Signers Form					Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.				
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are						20-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-0			6)
	mitted, the first five listed					Q no	Body Distri		on the
	nes and titles listed will be	-	· ·			Mate 1, or	rning	پ	vote (
	ne signers are part of a bor y be eligible to vote on the			·	Y	San	Gove , a Sc	ion o	le to
	ler the signer's name shall					ody of tool E ct	f the ounty distric	social	eligib
	y include their position wit					ng Bo a Sch Distri	r(s) o teo C. cial E	Je As /Onga	al(s)
By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.					Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member(s) of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual(s) eligible to vote on the measure	
1.	Name: Mark W. A. 1	(1.77)	Title: Preside					T	П
Phor	· / · / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	THECE	Email:			L		استسا	
A									
5									
2.	Name:	····	Title:						<u></u>
Phor	e:		Email:						
Addr	ess:			The state of the s					
Signature:		Date:							
	Name:		Title:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3.	. vmr. (190)								
Phor	le:		Email:						
Address									
Address:									
Signature:		Date:							
	Nama		Title:			-			<u></u>
4.	Name:		TIGE.						
Phone:		Email:							
Addr	ess:				;				
Signature:			Date:						
5.	Name:		Title:						
Phor	e:		Email:					 	
THOSE.									
Address:									
Size Date:									
Signature: Date:									
Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.									
Signe	ars	Registered	FOR OFFICIAL U: N/A	SE ONLY Signed		Dated			
•	Fide Association	☐ Verified	N/A	Signed		Dated			



AUG 1 4 2015

Argument Against South San Francisco Sales Tax Measure W

When the South San Francisco City Council requests voters grant them a sales tax hike / > like Measure W, what are they saying? They are admitting that everything they are 15 already spending our tax dollars on, is more important than the projects for which this tax / \(\) increase is being sought.	45							
Budgets reveal an organization's true priorities. The South San Francisco City Council is saying that every dollar they spend today is going to something they consider a higher priority than to "address street repairs."	37							
Do you agree? 3								
If street maintenance is a priority, why doesn't it deserve a spot in the City's annual budget? Isn't street maintenance an essential service that every city should provide?	30							
The answer is clear: It's not a priority for the South San Francisco City Council.13	30							
And if it is a priority for you, why isn't it a priority for the City Council?								
Is it Okay for the City Council to ignore your priorities?	20							
If your answer is "no," please vote NO on Measure W.	14=							
The City Council says it is "worrying about future budget cuts," but perhaps they should look at the budget they passed: 2013-14 revenues of \$121,644,042, versus 2015-16 revenues of \$126,300,000.	195							
That's a \$4,655,958 increase in revenues. Since when is an increase in revenues a "budget cut"?								
There are two ways to balance a budget: 1. Increase revenues. 2. Cut expenses.	2 2S							
But the City Council has <u>increased revenues AND increased expenses</u> .	234							
From the 2014-15 adopted budget, revenues are UP \$16,014,782, but the big spenders have increased expenses by a whopping \$23,828.603.	25>							
Again: Where is the "budget cut"?	263							
If you think you can spend your money more wisely than the big spenders on the City Council, you should vote NO on Measure W. > 5	7 7 7 1							
For more information: www.SVTaxpayers.org/2015-south-san-francisco-sales-tax) C							