

Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250
The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than
These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law. Ballot Measure
Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure
Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet
If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.
Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet
Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument
If the rebuttal argument is signed by <u>anyone</u> different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.
MARK W.A. Hinkle
Morgan Hill, CA 95037

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form		Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the <u>one</u> box that applies.			
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.		Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	—Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual
1. MARK W.A. Hinkle	Morgan Hill, CA	socia T	lon	7	
2. JOHN J. "JACK" HICKEY	TITLE: HEALTCARE DISTRICT BY MERALD HILLS, CA 94062 Date: 1/17/17				
Address: Signature:	Title: Email: Date:				
4. Name: Phone: Address: Signature:	Title: Email: Date:				
5. Name: Phone: Address: Signature:	Title: Email: Date:				
Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY					
Signers Registered Bona Fide Association Verified	N/A Signed N/A Signed		pated [/[7/17	



Authorization Form Change in Preparer, Submitter, or Signer of Rebuttal Arguments

PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. *California Elections Code* §9167, §9317, §9504

The undersigned author(s) hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) (up to five) to sign prepare, or submit (whichever is applicable) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against Measure ______for the election to be held on 3/28//7 (date of election) l. **NEW SIGNER(S):** Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: John J. "JACK" HICKEY Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: ______ **NEW PREPARER(S):** II. Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: Ш. **NEW SUBMITTER(S):** Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF PRIMARY APPLIABILITHOD/S). 1/17/17 MARK W. A. HINKLE Printed Name and Signature of Author Printed Name and Signature of Author Date


San Mateo - Foster City Elementary School District \$209.92 Parcel Tax

Reputtal to the Argument in Favor of Measure Y
Proponents say "Measure Y is NOT a new tax".
Here are the facts: A NO vote means your total parcel taxes would be \$104.42 with no expiration date. A YES vote would raise those taxes to \$314.34 for the next 9 years with CPI adjustments on the \$209.92 increase. That's almost \$2,000 in added tax.
California average expense per student is \$9,633 per year. San Mateo-Foster City Elementary School District spends \$9,573 or 99% of the statewide average. That does not include service on bond debt of \$200,992,942, accumulated by the District, which is paid from property taxes. This year it was \$273 for a \$500,000 home. Then there is "on behalf" debt service for state bonds issued for matching funds obtained by the District. The District also gets a percentage of the 1% ad-valorem General Property Tax.
That \$500,000 homeowner pays an additional \$1,300 for that. The District is already funded at the level voters established with the Gann Expenditure Limit. Measure Y would raise that limit!
The District has 21 schools. A look at district employee compensation in 2015 shows; 19 Principals with average annual compensation of \$169,179; 8 Assistant Principals at \$160,396; and, 6 Principals on special assignment at \$179,067.
The top 200 teachers receive an average annual compensation of \$112,326. And, they are guaranteed a defined benefit pension plan with a huge unfunded liability left to be financed by taxpayers.
http://transparentcalifornia.com/salaries/2015/school-districts/san-mateo/san-mateo-foster-city/?page=2
Tell the San Mateo-Foster City Elementary School District to be fiscally responsible by

HTTP://SVTAXPAYERS. ORG/2017-SAN-MATEO-FOSTER-CITY-SCHOOL-PARCEL-TAX

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF PLECTIONS OFFICER OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.

JAN 1 7 2017



voting NO on Measure Y.