

## **Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form**

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law. \_\_\_\_\_\_for the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_to be held on \_\_\_\_\_ Ballot Measure\_\_\_\_ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure \_\_\_\_\_ Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure \_\_\_ Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form. Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature. Contact Person: Phone: Mailing Address: Fmail: Fax:



## Authorization Form Change in Preparer, Submitter, or Signer of Rebuttal Arguments

## PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. California Elections Code §9167, §9317, §9504

Ciecui	on to be held on(date of election)		
1.	NEW SIGNER(S):		
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:		
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:		
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:		
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:		•
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:		
it.	NEW PREPARER(S):		
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer:		
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer:	A Total Control of the Control of th	
H.	NEW SUBMITTER(S):		
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter:		
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter:		
NAME	(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTH	IOR(S):	
	Printed Name and Signature of Author	Date 12-27-2016	
	Printed Name and Signature of Author	Date ( )	

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.  Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.  If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.  By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.				
Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.  If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing				
If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing				
1				
individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that				
association/organization.				
If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.  By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.				
1. JOHN J. JACK HICKEY PARTY SAN MATEO COUNTY				
Email:				
Emerald Hills,				
Signature 12-27-2016				
2. Harland Harrison Parts of Santato Count				
Ph Email: 7 San Mates County   X				
Belyno & CA 9 81007				
Signatu Belmost CA 9 4002  Date: 12-27-2016				
3. Name:	_			
Phone: Email:				
Address:				
Signature: Date:				
4. Name:				
Phone: Email:				
Address:				
Signature: Date:				
5. Name: Title:				
Phone: Email:				
Address:				
Signature: Date:				
Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.				
FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY Signers Registered N/A Signed Dated	_			
Bona Fide Association Verified N/A Signed Dated				

## REBUTTAL TO AROUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE &

In May 2016, voters defeated TWO parcel taxes. Only 22.5% | 0 of registered voters supported Measure A. Only 20% supported Measure C. 2

Existing parcel taxes providing 15% of district funding, 8 and generous contributions from MPAEF and PTO's, have created 9 schools which go far beyond basics. Bonded indebtedness of 9 \$131,000,000 approved by voters has added to the grandiosity of 0 MPCSD schools. Voters do not need to raise taxes because the 11 District is already collecting far more than necessary to provide 0 more than basic education. 4

The state has an obligation to provide equal education to everybody. MPCSD tells voters they can have better schools than their neighbors if they increase taxes. This is wrong. Every child is entitled to equal education no matter what school the government forces her to go to. Eventually, the state must adjust funding to approach equality. Because MPCSD could stop the tax, they claim the state cannot take money away.

A NO vote allows one existing tax to expire, still leaving //
\$684 in parcel taxes having NO expiration date. Proponents claim a //
\$5,000,000 budget deficit will result. Wrong! The district has 9
plans to prevent that from happening.6

Proponents claim this tax will be subject to "oversight". But (o their similar bond oversight committee has 4 VACANCIES out of 7// members!

Political consultants hired by the district, crafted ballot of language to elicit voter approval. The phrase "replace its generating parcel tax at the new rate of \$360..." recommended by // those consultants, DELIBERATELY hide \$153 increase!

Vote NO on Measure X!

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.

DEC 2 7 2016

By DEPUTYCLERK

