

Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

| Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections | official conducting the election no later than | | | |
| These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal Ballot Measure for the City of | | | | |
| Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure N | Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure | | | |
| Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Alre | ady Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet | | | |
| If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Ar the back side of this form. | al(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the gument Submission Form, check the following box and complete | | | |
| Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as A | rgument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet | | | |
| Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing | Primary Argument | | | |
| If the rebuttal argument is signed by <u>anyone</u> different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature. | | | | |
| Contact Person: | Phone: | | | |
| Mailing Address: | | | | |
| Fax: | Emali: | | | |

| Rebuttal Argum | ent Signers Form | Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the <u>one</u> box that applies. | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|---|--|---|--------------|------------|----|--|
| No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. | | | Special | y of istrict, | | | | |
| Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. | | | ateo or a S | g Bod oof Di | | 1 | | |
| If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe | | Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District | Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District | Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization | ten p | | | |
| it not to be false or misleading. | | | | Mem San N | Bona | Individual | | |
| | Radtke | Mateo County | chair, San Libertanian Party | | | X | | |
| Pho Ad | - | Email: | | - | | <u>:</u> | | |
| | .e_ | | | | |] | | |
| Sig | | Date: 8125/14 | | | | | | |
| 2. Name: | | Title: | | | | | | |
| Phone: | | Email: | | | | | | |
| Address: | | | | | | | | |
| Signature: | | Date: | |] | | | | |
| 3. Name: | | Title: | | | | | | |
| Phone: | | Emall: | | | | | | |
| Address: | | | | | | | | |
| Signature: | | Date: | | | | | | |
| 4. Name: | | Title: | | | | | | |
| Phone: | | Email: | |] | | | | |
| Address: | | | 1 | | | | | |
| Signature: | | Date: | | | | | | |
| 5. Name: | | Title: | | | | | | |
| Phone: | | Email: | | | | | ļ. | |
| Address: | | | | | | | | |
| Signature: | | Date: | | | | | | |
| Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument. | | | | | | | | |
| Signers | ☐ Registered | N/A | Signed | Da | ated | | | |
| Bona Fide Association | ☐ Verified | N/A | Signed | Da | ated | | | |

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure N

The argument in favor of Measure N paints only a pretty picture, without full disclosure of all the consequences.

David Woltering, San Bruno's community development director, is on the record as saying: "What we have found, in talking to developers and property interests in other communities, is these city restrictions in Ordinance 1284 are major limitations to private investment and redevelopment and economic development here in the city of San Bruno..."*

If this has been the primary barrier to economic development, simply repeal Ordinance 1284.

Ib Instead, if Measure N were to pass, current renters and residents may find themselves priced out for San Bruno when the proposed developments go up only in targeted areas with only favored developers getting approval from the planning commission.

A number of well paying blue collar jobs may be lost in downtown San Bruno due to gentrification. Mixed Tretail development will likely bring in only minimum wage service jobs. Transit oriented communities often result in speculative real estate prices and higher rent.

C Vote NO on Measure N.

*article by S. Parker Yesko in the San Francisco Examiner, June 17, 2014.

Douglas Radtke San Mateo County Libertarian Party



