

OFFICE OF

ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

	ount limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words			
Ballot	Measure for the to be held on NEV 8, 20 2.2_			
Re	Duttal to Argument in Favor of Measure W Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure W			
Sign	d by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument			
If the Pamp	rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information hlet for the primary argument, check the following box and skip the back side of this form.			
	Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument			
	Contact Person's Printed Name:			
	Phone: Email:			
Signe Prima	d by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the ry Argument			
The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize any other person or persons to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).				
V	Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)			
	Contact Person's Printed Name:			
	RICHARD GINN			
ĺ	Phone: Email:			

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

If the signers are part of a bona f name shall list the name of that b	de association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the sign ona fide association and may include their position within that association	er's
By signing below, the undersigne misleading. Print information clear	d state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or	
1. Name: Edithe Salva	tore Prisident, Sequoia District Trackers	s A
Phone	Emailt	
Address:	Sunfrancisco, CA 94121	
Signatur	Sun Francisco, CA 94121 Date: 24 AUGUST, 2022	
2. Name: John Viol	Title:	
Phone:	Email:	
Address:	BELMONT, CA 94002	
Signature.	Date: August 74, 7027	
3. Name.	Title:	
Phone:	Email:	
Address:		
Signature:	Date:	
4 Name:	Title:	1 - Y 2 - 38
Phone:	Email:	
Address:	and the same of t	
Signature:	Date:	
5. Name:	Title:	-
Phone:	Email:	
Address:		
Signature:	Date:	

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title.

five listed shall be printed.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Print information clearly.

1.	Name: Giselle Hale	Title: Mayor of Redwood City		
Pho	one:	Email:		
Add	dress			
Sig	nature:	Date: CA 94062		
		8/24/22		
2.	Name:	Title:		
Pho	one:	Email:		
Add	dress:			
Sig	nature:	Date:		
0.9		Date.		
3.	Name:	Title:		
Pho	one:	Email:		
Add	dress:			
Sig	nature:	Date:		
Olg		Date.		
4.	Name:	Title:		
Phone:		Email:		
Add	fress:			
Sign	nature:			
Sigi	lature.	Date:		
5.	Name:	Title:		
Phone:		Email:		
Add	lress:			
Signature:		Date:		
		1		

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

	No more than five signatures shall appear with any argive listed shall be printed.	gument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first
	Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that	they are listed below. A signer can only list one title.
	If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each	ach such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's
	name shall list the name of that bona fide association	and may include their position within that association.
	By signing below, the undersigned state that they hav misleading. Print information clearly.	e read the argument and believe it not to be false or
(φ)	1. Name: Legina Wallace- Jones	The ty Council Hembor, East Palo Alt
	Phone:	Email:
	Address	East PaloAlfo, CA 94303 Date: 8/24/2022
		Date: \$\\24\\2022
		Title:
(Phone:	Email:
	Address:	
	Signature:	Date:
	3. Name:	Title:
	Phone:	Email:
	Address:	
	Signature:	Date:
	4. Name:	Title:
	Phone:	Email:
	Address:	
	Signature:	Date:
	5. Name:	Title:
	Phone:	Email:
	Address:	
	Signature:	Date:

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or

misleading. Print information clearly.	and and any animonic and bolicite it hot to be laise of	
Phone Rick DeGolia	Title: Mayor, Town of Ather	
Address:		
	A Decton 94027	
	8/29/22	
U	Title:	
Phone:	Email:	
Address:		
Signature:	Date:	
3. Name:	Title:	
Phone:	Email:	
Address:		
Signature:	Date:	
4. Name:	Title:	
Phone:	Email:	
Address:		
Signature:	Date:	
5. Name:	Title:	
Phone:	Email:	
Address:		
Signature:	Date:	



OFFICE OF

ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Arguments

Pursuant to California Elections Code §§9167, 9317 and 9504, the author(s) of the primary argument in favor of or against a measure may authorize in writing *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument.

The undersigned author(s) of the primary argument hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) to sign (up to five) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against (circle one) Measure for the Election to be held on (date of election)				
NEW SIGNER(S) (PRINT CLEARLY):				
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: EDITH SALVATORE				
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: JOHN R. VIOLET				
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: GISELLE HALE				
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: <u>REGINIA</u> WALLACE - JONES				
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: RICK DEGOLTA				
(The new signers listed here must sign the Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form)				
NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF THE PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S): The primary Argument Author 8/29/22 Printed Name and Signature of Author Date				
Printed Name and Signature of Author				
Printed Name and Signature of Author Date				

Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure W

Don't be misled by the opponents. We are proud to live in a community that values education and believes in supporting local schools.

As local leaders who believe that strong schools are essential for our community, we make it a priority to know the facts. We're voting YES on W.

- Measure W is accountable. Independent citizens' oversight and mandatory audits
 ensure all funds stay local to make the critical health and safety upgrades our high
 schools need.
- There are few ways to repair and update our schools. In fact, passing Measure W is the ONLY way to help us qualify for state matching funds.
- <u>Sequoia Union High School District has a proven track record of excellent fiscal</u>

 <u>management of past bond measures.</u> In fact, the District was able to leverage \$110,774

 **Million in state matching funds to do more work on schools over the past 25 years.
- Providing local students with equal access to updated classrooms and labs is Measure W's top priority. It is essential to update heating, cooling, and ventilation systems so that students are able to focus on their education.
- Measure W is an investment in our students' futures and our community. Measure W costs the typical homeowner less than \$15 per month to provide the safe and modern schools students need to be prepared for college and careers.

We are parents, business owners and education leaders, and we are taxpayers too. We are accountable; to our kids, to our community and to ensuring quality education for all local >3) students.

Vote YES on W.

2

249

FILED IN THEOFFICE OF THE CHIEF ELECTION SOUFFICER OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

ANUTG 22 99 7207272

