

OFFICE OF

ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word of Ballot I ☐ Re	wount limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words Measure for the for the buttal to Argument in Favor of Measure	Union Itigh School District to be held on Nov 8, 2022. Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure	
Signe	ed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter	Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument	
	rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual oblet for the primary argument, check the following I	(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information box and skip the back side of this form.	
	Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument		
	Contact Person's Printed Name:		
	Phone:	Email:	
	ed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Seary Argument	elected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the	
signe attach	rs are new for the rebuttal argument, please check	y other person or persons to sign the rebuttal argument. If the following box, complete the back side of this form and or Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the	
Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)			
,	Contact Person's Printed Name:	Robell	
	Phone:	Email:	

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association.


1. Name: Kim Rupert	Title: Eco No. Mcs 7
	CC- 70 - 1016 3 /
Phone	Email·
Hers Bolone	64 94010
Sign	Date: 22 Aug 2022
2. Name:	Title:
Phone:	Email:
Address:	
Signature:	Date:
3. Name:	Title:
Phone:	Email:
Address:	
Signature:	Date:
4. Name:	Title:
Phone:	Email:
Address:	
Signature:	Date:
5. Name:	Title:
Phone:	Email:
Address:	
Signature:	Date:



No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association.

	Name: Laure Bicke	Title: Small Business Dwner
Phor		Email:
Addr	000	Redwood City CASADGI
Signa	atur	Date: 30 August 3000
2.	Name:	Title:
Phon	ne:	Email:
Addr	ess:	
Signa	ature:	Date:
3.	Name:	Title:
Phon	ie:	Email:
Addr	ess:	I
Signa	ature:	Date:
4.	Name:	Title:
Phon	e:	Email:
Addre	ess:	L
Signa	ature:	Date:
5.	Name:	Title:
Phon	ie:	Email:
Addre	ess:	
Signa	ature:	Date:
		[



No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association.


	3		
1.	Name: EKATERINA STOMAKHIM	DMITRISE	Title: Biologist
Pho	one:		Emai <u>l</u> :
Add	ress:	EMERALD	HILLS, CA 94062
Sigi			Nills, CA 94062 Date: 0812012022
2.	Name:		Title:
Pho	ne:	***************************************	Email:
Add	ress:		
Sigr	nature:	The second secon	Date:
3.	Name:		Title:
Pho	ne:	er vive benedictive and a second	Email:
Add	ress:	The state of the s	
Sigr	nature:		Date:
4.	Name:		Title:
Pho	ne:		Email:
Add	ress:		
Sigr	nature:		Date:
5.	Name:		Title:
Pho	ne:		Email:
Add	ress:		
Sign	ature:		Date:



No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association.

misleading. Finit information clearly,	
1. Name: Brent C Adams	Title: Sales Engineer
Phone:	Ema
Redw	ood CH4, CA. 94062
Signature	ood CHy, CA, 94062 Date: Aug. 21, 2022 Title:
2. Name:	Title:
Phone:	Email:
Address:	
Signature:	Date:
3. Name:	Title:
Phone:	Email:
Address:	
Signature:	Date:
4. Name:	Title:
Phone:	Email:
Address:	L
Signature:	Date:
5. Name:	Title:
Phone:	Email:
Address:	
Signature:	Date:



No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association.

1. Name: MARK W.A. HINKLE	Title: PRESIDENT: SILICON VALLEY TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION Email:
Phone:	Email: Payers Pressociation
_Address:	
Andress	MORGANHILL, CA 95037
Signa	Date: 8-20-22
2. Name:	Title:
Phone:	Email:
Address:	
Signature:	Date:
3. Name:	Title:
Phone:	Email:
Address:	
Signature:	Date:
4. Name:	Title:
Phone:	Email:
Address:	
Signature:	Date:
5. Name:	Title:
Phone:	Email:
Address:	
Signature:	Date:







OFFICE OF

ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Arguments

Pursuant to California Elections Code §§9167, 9317 and 9504, the author(s) of the primary argument in favor of or against a measure may authorize in writing *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument.

The undersigned author(s) of the p	rimary argument hereby authorize(s) the following
individual(s) to sign (up to five) the	rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor
of/against (circle one) Measure	for the Election to be held on $\frac{Nov 8, 2022}{(date of election)}$:
	(date of election)
NEW SIGNER(S) (PRINT CLEARLY)) :
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:	Kim Rupert
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:	Laura Biche
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:	Exadetina Dmitrige
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:	Brent C. Adams
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:	Mark W.A. Hinkle
(The new signers listed here must s Form)	sign the Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission
NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF THE	PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):
Christopher M. Robell	8-76-22
Printed Name and Signature of Autho	r Date
Printed Name and Signature of Autho	r Date

Measure W Rebuttal to Argument in Favor:

If you think voting for this bond measure will help kids, think twice.	13
If approved, this bond will saddle you and future generations with more debt and even higher housing costs .	> 8
There is a serious fiscal stewardship problem at this school district.	11
Since 1996, the district has already received approval for \$633,000,000 from five bond measures.	>14
Most recently, the district asked voters for \$265,000,000 for safety and building upgrades, based on 20% projected increase in student enrollment.	721
This enrollment projection never materialized, yet all money was spent!	10
Now they are back, asking you for another \$591,500,000. And at the August 3 rd school board meeting, trustees said they might seek another bond in a few year	rs. 728
So what is the plan for this money? There isn't one (except we know bonds are for buildings and cannot be used for teachers or academic programs). The bond measure itself says they in the process of conducting a "Facilities Master Planning Process" to "identify the upgrades needed".	748
Asking for taxpayer money before there is a specific plan and budget tied to key priorities is fiscally irresponsible.	719
The district estimates this massive \$591,500,000 construction project will cost taxpayers \$1,100,000,000 including principal, interest and fees.	717
If approved, this will make the housing crisis even worse.	10
Everyone would pay \$1000s over decades in higher taxes and housing costs during a time of high inflation and economic uncertainty.	721
Tell the school board to prioritize and budget just like families.	: /
Vote NO on Measure W!!	4
IN THE OFFICE OF THE	(245



245

AUG 2 6 2022

