Argument In Favor of the "Main Street Bridge Preservation Act"

For three years, one person after another has stood in front of the City Council begging them not 1 to close the bridge, not to cripple our local economy. The Council sat there stone faced and 1 ignored each and every one of us. Instead, they continue to listen to the consultants who will 1 ignored from a huge bridge project.

So now, \$500,000 in the hole, they are trying to hijack the people's initiative process.

Our ballot measure is simple. It will require a majority vote of the citizens in a regular election before the bridge can be destroyed or expanded. No loopholes.

The City wants you to believe that Measure F is the same as ours. They even gave it an identical title. But not only has the City left out every single one of our findings, they have also removed the very words that describe the purpose of the measure. There is no reason to exclude that language except to make the measure weaker and more vulnerable in a court of law.

So there are now two "Main Street Bridge Preservation Acts". Why the bait and switch? Because 14 something else happens in November: an election for City Council. After three years of willful disregard for their citizens, Council members running for reelection want the bridge fiasco put to 14 bed and forgotten before their positions are at risk.

Despite the chicanery, the only rational response is to vote NO on E and YES on F, and then vote YES again in November when the identically named measure once again appears on the ballot.

Yes, we know it's confusing. That's why they did this, and it's why you must vote 140 NO on E and YES on F. 140

Save Our Bridge, Save Our Budget, Save Our Town () www.hmbbridge.com

295 Loorals

PRIMARY IN FAVOR OF LESSUES T

Arguments in Support or Opposition of the Proposed Laws are the Opinions of the Authors

CITY CLERK - HMB

BALLOT MEASURE PRIMARY ARGUMENT SUBMISSION FORM: 45

A ballot argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitted it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association or citizens/organization, the name of the association or organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Primary Argument in FAVOR of: the Main Street Bridge Preservation Act

Word count limit for Primary Arguments = 300 words

Primary Argument AGAINST: _____

of/a (jur	against) ballot proposition (name or nu) of the (primary/rebuttal) argument (in favorable) at the (title of election) election for the reby state that the argument is true and correct tel.
1.	Name: David Eblovi	Title:
		nail: c
		ate: March 14, 2014
2.	Name: Mark Heath	Title: CHIROPRACTOR
		Email:
		Date: March 14, 2014
3.	Name: George Gipe	Title:
		Date: March 14, 2014

4.	Name: Luciano Arruda	Title:
F		Email:
<i>‡</i>		
2		Date: March 14, 2014
5.	Name: John Lynch	Title:
	ł	
		Date: March 14, 2014

- No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, only the first five shall be printed.
- Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed.
- If the signers are part of a bonafide association/organization, there is no requirement that they be eligible to vote on the measure. However, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bonafide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.
- With their signature, signers state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.
- PLEASE ATTACH A HARD COPY OF THE ARGUMENT TO THIS FORM.
- AN ELECTRONIC COPY OF THE ARGUMENT IN WORD FORMAT IS ALSO REQUIRED. THIS MUST BE SENT TO THE CITY CLERK PRIOR TO THE DEADLINE, OR YOU MAY SUBMIT A FLASH DRIVE OR DISK WITH THE WORD DOCUMENT.