Rebutal to argument in fans of I



OFFICE OF

ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word o	ount limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 25	0 words
Ballot I	Measurefor the _0	rimary election to be held on 6.7-22
₽ †Re	buttal to Argument in Favor of Measure	e Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure
Signe	ed by Same Individual(s) Selected fo	or the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument
If the Pamp	rebuttal argument is signed by the san hlet for the primary argument, check th	ne individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information ne following box and skip the back side of this form.
7	Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument	
	Contact Person's Printed Name:	
	MARK HINKLE	
	Phone:	Email:
	ed by Different Individual(s) than Ind ary Argument	lividual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the
signe attach	rs are new for the rebuttal argument, p	authorize any other person or persons to sign the rebuttal argument. If lease check the following box, complete the back side of this form and ration Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the
	Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)	
	Contact Person's Printed Name:	
	Phone:	Email:

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Ravenswood City Elementary District \$110M Bond Tax: Rebuttal - Measure I

<u>In 2016</u>, voters approved Ravenswood City Elementary District's <u>\$26 million bond</u> to "improve technology, computer and science labs," likely obsolete now, as is most computer technology after 6 years. <u>But you're still paying for it.</u>

<u>In 2018, voters approved \$70 million</u> to "invest in classroom computers and technology." With luck, this equipment still works after 4 years. **But should you still be paying for it?**

To buy a computer that will last 3–5 years, do you apply for a 30-year loan? No! But that's what Ravenswood City Elementary District keeps doing. Nuts, right?

They say insanity is doing the same thing again and again, while expecting different results.

Now, just as families are grappling with a 7.9% rise in prices, the district wants a WHOPPING \$110 MILLION OF DEBT — for "technology" — that you, your children, and likely grandchildren, will still owe in 2052.

The district spends \$23,413 per child per year — 178% of the average elementary district statewide. Hint: they have plenty of funds for technology, without saddling you, and today's students, with new debt.

They claim they need to construct new classrooms — <u>despite enrollment's steady decline from</u> 4,058 in 2015 to 3,269 in 2020 (19.4%, 789 fewer students).

(Source: California Department of Education; www.Ed-Data.org)

Making big payments to bond lenders, for 3+ decades — is this the best use of our local tax dollars?

If you answered "NO," please **vote NO on Measure I.** Teach Ravenswood City Elementary District board to be financially responsible, by voting **NO on Measure I.**

For information: www.SVTaxpayers.org

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

MAR 2 8 2022

MARK CHURCH Chief Elections Officer

By: ______

13/

40

150

1211

14/

134

18 4

110