limit 250 words

Rebuttal to the Argument Against Measure W Redwood City School District

Authors of the argument against Measure W oppose **every** school measure in San Mateo County. As the usual, their arguments are misleading and distort the truth.

The Redwood City Council, Redwood City Chamber of Commerce, elected leaders in Atherton, Menlo V2 Park and San Carlos, and League of Women Voters of South San Mateo County know the facts. They 14 support a YES vote on W. (2)

Because our schools are the lowest- funded in our area, local children need Measure W to receive a \(\xi \) quality public education like students in neighboring communities. \(\xi \)

Our schools have suffered \$13 million in cuts and "tightened the belt" by reducing district office 12 administration by 20%, laying off 120 teachers and increasing class sizes. 12

There's no "fat" left to cut. (,

Measure W is limited, targeted and specific. It can <u>only</u> be used to protect math, science, reading and \mathcal{L} writing programs; retain qualified teachers and support school libraries. \P

Measure W's citizen oversight committee, annual reports and annual independent, third-party audits 12 provide transparency, verifying all funds are used for voter- approved purposes. No funds can be spent 16 on administrators' salaries. ξ

Measure W won't solve the entire budget problem. It provides \$1.7 million in stable funding to protect ₩ academic priorities, giving our schools local control. ₹

For less than \$6 per month, we will provide local students with skills needed for future success in high 20 school, college and well- paying jobs. 5

Measure W is a win for local students and our community. Good schools mean safe neighborhoods and of strong property values. S

Vote YES. 2

246

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICE OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.

MAR 26 2012

MARK CHURCH, Ch

DEPUTY CLERK



Authorization Form

Change in Preparer, Submitter, or Signer of Rebuttal Arguments

PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. *California Elections Code* §9167, §9317, §9504

The undersigned author(s) hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) (up to five) to sign prepare, or submit (whichever is applicable) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of against Measure w for the election to be held on ob-05-12 I. **NEW SIGNER(S):** Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: John "Jack" Greenalch Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: ALICIA D Michael KASTROP Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: ___ Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Paula Uccelli II. **NEW PREPARER(S):** Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: III. **NEW SUBMITTER(S):** Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: ______ NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S): Dennis P. MCBMDE Printed Name and Signature of Author

Date

Printed Name and Signature of Author



Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250
The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than $\underline{03-26-12}$.
These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law. Ballot Measure
Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure
Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet
If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.
Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet
Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument
If the rebuttal argument is signed by <u>anyone</u> different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.
Contact Person: Dennis P. mans
Matter
Fax: Email:

(2)