

OFFICE OF

ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

the hame of the accordance and the printed hame and agreement			
Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words			
Ballot Measure O for the fresiden Tia	Primary to be held on 3-3-2020		
Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure	Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure		
Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter I	nformation Pamphlet for the Primary Argument		
If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and skip the back side of this form.			
Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument			
Contact Person's Printed Name:			
MARK W.A. HINKLE			
Phone:	Email:		
Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) So Primary Argument	elected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the		
The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize any other person or persons to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).			
Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as	Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)		
Contact Person's Printed Name:			
Phone:	Email:		

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Print information clearly.

1.	Name:	Title:		
Phone:		Email:		
Ado	Address:			
Sign	nature:	Date:		
2.	Name:	Title:		
Pho	ne:	Email:		
Address:				
Sigr	nature:	Date:		
3.	Name:	Title:		
Pho	ne:	Email:		
Address:				
Sigr	nature:	Date:		
4.	Name:	Title:		
Pho	ne:	Email:		
Address:				
Sigr	nature:	Date:		
5.	Name:	Title:		
Pho	ne:	Email:		
Address:				
Sigr	nature:	Date:		

Rebuttal Burlingame Elementary School District \$97M Bond Issue: Measure O

In 2016 voters approved \$56,000,000 in debt to "update classrooms" and "fix leaky roofs" and in 2012 they approved \$56,000,000 to "update classrooms".
Now they want another \$97,000,000 debt to do it all over again??? \2
Do school classrooms really need upgrading/roofs fixed every four years? 11
Have they even spent the \$56,000,000 from the 2016 bond or before? ι
If not, why are they asking for more of your hard-earned dollars? (3
Budgets set priorities. Burlingame Elementary School District is saying every 7 educational dollar spent today is going to a higher priority than to "renovate (3 outdated classrooms". 2
Do you agree? 3
The District does not think "student safety" is important, because they are not in the 15 current budget. 2
Do you agree? ≥
The District does not think "leaky roofs" are important, because they are not in the 15 current budget. 2
Do you agree? 3
Who takes out a 25-30-year loan to buy a personal computer? No one, right? But 1) that is what the District did in 2012. You are paying for that now and will for decades to 19 come, even though that technology became obsolete years ago. 9
You deserved to know the <u>full truth about the mountain of debt that is measure</u> . 15
Remember, financing school projects via bond debt, routinely doubles the cost of the 1 7 project. And purchasing technology via bonds is nuts!
Just say No to more debt. Vote No on O. 8
For more information: www.SVTaxpayers.org 4
FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.
DEC 2 3 2019

DEPUTY CLERK