

MARK CHURCH

CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER & ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250							
The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than							
These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law. Ballot Measure for the to be held on Nov 6 2018							
☑ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of MeasureI ☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure							
Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet							
If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.							
Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet							
Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument							
If the rebuttal argument is signed by <u>anyone</u> different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's <u>name_contact information</u> , statement of authorization, and signature	ıİ						
Contact Person: Harland Harrison							
Mailing Address: Belmont CA 94002							
Fax: Email:							

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form			Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the <u>one</u> box that applies.				
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.			<u>one</u> sox	Body		e e	
Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.				tive B		individual(s) eligible to vote on the measure	
If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, there is no requirement that			Legislative Body of the City of Belmont— 1911 6 C.	of the Legislative	1	o vote	
they be eligible to vote on the measure. However, for each such signing individual(s), the title			of th	the Lo	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	ible t	
under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and			Body	of the L	Assoc	s) elig	
may include their position within that association/organization. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not			ative	ber(s)	Fide /	dual(s	
to be false or misleading.			Legisl	Member(s) of the City o	Bona Citize	Individua	
1. Name:	KWA. HINKLE	Title: President: Silicon Valley Taxpayers Associtation		П	2		
Phone:		Email:					
Address	iA A	11:11 00 05027					
Signat	· MOC	gan Hill, CA 95037 Date:					
Name:		8-27-2018					
2.	Harland Harrison	Chair, Libertarian Party of San Mateo County CA			✓		
Phone:		Email:					
Address:	. Belm	nont CA 94002					
Signature:	, 500	Date: 8 - 24 - 2018					
3. Name:	,	Title:					
Phone:		Email:					
Address:							
Signature:		Date:					
4. Name:		Title:			П	П	
Phone:		Email:					
Address:							
Signature:		Date:					
5. Name:		Title:	\dashv			=	
Phone:		Email:	Ш				
Address:							
Address:							
Signature:		Date:					
Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.							
Signers	☐ Registered	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY N/A Signed D	ated				
Bona Fide Association	_		ated				

N/A

Signed

Dated

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE II

The argument in favor of Measure II omits critical facts! Millbrae should not borrow so much.

Millbrae <u>has</u> funding for a replacement Community Center from the <u>insurance alone</u>.

The California Policy Institute has published that the California city with the highest pension burden, percentage-wise is **Millbrae**. Millbrae contributes a whopping 59 cents to CalPERS for every dollar in wages. Millbrae officials, who need more expertise in accountancy and actuarial science, claim they kept costs down by contracting services. This did not make the pension problems go away because the rising cost of pension obligations are indirectly passed through both their Central County Fire and San Mateo County Sheriff's contracts. To issue **even more debt now** is flat out **fiscally irresponsible**.

<u>Does spending 59 cents for every dollar you hand to Millbrae sound like a fair deal?</u> Can you afford to continue to "bail out" a city that engages in endless rate hikes, a perpetual fire assessment, and yet can't apportion a penny towards keeping downtown clean on a regular basis?

Measure II claims to provide "affordable recreation programs", yet the City cannot properly show how Millbrae itself can afford these "affordable programs". Millbrae recently provided support and waivers to Self-Help for the Elderly yet required no statistics to see if the senior lunch program <u>actually serves Millbrae residents</u>.

Stop being an **ATM MACHINE** to Millbrae City Council. They have demonstrated in 10+ years absolutely no restraint in spending from <u>ice rink proposals</u> to <u>luxury garbage cans</u>.

<u>VOTE NO ON II</u> to restore sanity to Millbrae's finances. **Enough is enough!**

Harland Harrison Chair, Libertarian Party of San Mateo County CA