





## OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

A ballot argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form. In addition to the information provided here, the argument shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) who submitted it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association or citizens/organization, the name of the association or organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers who is the author of the argument.

Word count limit for Primary Arguments = 300 words

Primary Argument in FAVOR of: advisory, mature neutration	
Primary Argument AGAINST:	
1. Name: Durtin Cline Phone:	Title (optional):  (0-Foundly, Constitute Course Lis Coalition  Email:
Address:	
Signatu	Date: 8/12/18
2. Name: Silberman	Title (optional): Co-foundors Coordinator, Coastalo Cannabis Coalitias
Phono:	Email:
Address:	
Comptune	Mordara OA 94637
Signature:	Date: 9/13/18
3. Name:	Title (optional):
Phone:	Email:
Address:	

## Measure \_\_:

Advisory Vote Only: Should the City draft and adopt and ordinance to allow and regulate commercial, greenhouse cultivation of mature cannabis plants on existing greenhouse sites in the City's A-1 (Agricultural/Exclusive Floriculture) Zoning District?

## **Primary Argument in Favor:**

According to the City of HMB, 69% of voters favored Proposition 64, which legalized a regulated cannabis industry statewide two years ago. These cannabis ballot 23 measures are a continuation of the process to implement a local, regulated cannabis 36 industry in alignment with the mandate of our voters from 2016.

The Coastside Cannabis Coalition supports this advisory measure to draft and adopt 59 an ordinance to allow and locally regulate commercial, greenhouse nursery 69 cultivation of mature cannabis plants in existing greenhouse sites in the City's A-1 82 Zoning District.

We believe that the required security, restricted access, and environmental 94 protection measures included in state cannabis regulations already address many of 105 the community's concerns regarding cannabis cultivation on the coast. The county 116 has already allowed the cultivation of mature cannabis plants, and adopting an 128 ordinance allowing mature plants in HMB would put us in alignment with the 144 county for regulatory and enforcement purposes.

Passing this measure would also support our local farmers and nursery operators 159 by allowing them to get involved in cannabis related economic opportunities, which 171 many have repeatedly asked for since Prop 64 was passed. The County is supporting 185 out-of-county investors in coming to properties in the HMB area to begin licensed 200 cannabis operations. The small number of eligible cultivation facilities in HMB are owned by local families who have been contributing to our community for 224 generations. We should not keep our neighbors and local farmers from accessing 236 these same time sensitive opportunities.

Additionally, passing this measure would allow our community to have local control 253 of our cannabis regulations, so that HMB residents and Coastsiders can decide what 266 is best for our local community instead of out-of-town and out-of-county 287 speculators. 282

Learn more at: www.coastsidecannabis.org

284