

OFFICE OF

ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO



MAR 2 6 201 MARK CHURCH

MARK CHURCU ASSESSOR-COLANEY CLERK-RECORI

DEPUTY CLERK

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

| The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than |
|--|
| These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law. Ballot Measure for the Packa Shoold to be held on Jone 5th, 2018. Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure |
| The buttan to Argument and Pavor of Measure Micebuttan to Argument Against Measure |
| Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet |
| If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form. |
| Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet |
| Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument |
| If the rebuttal argument is signed by <u>anyone</u> different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature. |
| Contact Person: Phone: |
| Mailing Address: Pacifica, CA 94044 |
| Fax: |

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

| No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. | | | | capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies. | | | |
|--|-------------------------|---|------------------|--|---|------------|--|
| | | | | Special District Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District | Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization | Individual | |
| 1. Sudith M. | Kell | Parcel Tax Opersign Em | + Committee men | | | | |
| Name: Antonia Vrb | | Date: 3 plb / 18 Title: Vallemar PTD co-pi Email: | resident | | | X | |
| 3. Name: Name: Phone: | The me | Date: 3/26/18 Title: LOW PALIFICATION CEO STU | c Busines, ame | ĵ o | | Ø | |
| Signat Name: Ashla J Lo | Pacie | Date: 3 - 26 - 18 Title: Childrens | , | 1 | | [X] | |
| Phone: Addre | Pacifica, | CA 94044 Date: 3/26/18 | _ | | | | |
| 5. Name: Out / | | Title: Paclifica | Parent [| | | Ø | |
| Submit a second for | |) for alternate signer | LY | | | gument. | |
| Signers Sona Fide Association | ☐ Registered ☐ Verified | N/A N/A | Signed Signed | | ated ated | | |

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO REGISTRATION-ELECTIONS DIVISION

AUTHORIZATION FORM FOR CHANGE IN PREPARER, SUBMITTER, OR SIGNER OF REBUTTAL ARGUMENTS


PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. CA Elections Code §9167, §9317, §9504

| | of/against Measure for the Election to be held on: (date of election) | |
|------|--|-------|
| I. | NEW SIGNER(S): | |
| | Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: | TO co |
| | Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Oversight | Com |
| | Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer; | NBSS |
| | Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: children's librarian | |
| | Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Jane L. Janes & Pacifica | . par |
| II. | NEW PREPARER(S): | |
| | Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: | |
| | Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: | |
| III. | NEW SUBMITTER(S): | |
| | Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: | |
| | Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: | |
| NAM | ME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF AUTHOR(S): | |
| | 3/26/A | |
| | Printed Name and Signature of Author Date | |
| | Printed Name and Signature of Author Date | |
| | | |

Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure O

| The author of the argument against Measure O does NOT live in Pacifica or even in San Mateo County. In fact, he lives in Morgan Hill and submits misleading negative arguments to all Pacifica education measures. He hasn't taken the time to visit our schools or understand our needs. | 16 13 14 2 | 45 |
|---|---------------|---------|
| As actual residents of Pacifica, we know the FACTS about why <u>Measure O</u> is so important for local schools: | 16 | |
| region, with outstanding teachers and excellent academic programs. Using this designated classroom funding for repairs would take resources away from students and could threaten | 16 11 13 5 | 45 |
| FACT: It has been over 20 years since Pacifica's last education bond measure. With nearby districts passing measures more recently, our local schools are now falling apart AND falling behind. | 15 13 2 | 30 |
| | 14 14 2 | 30 |
| FACT: Measure O has a comprehensive list of projects that are prioritized to effectively repair and maintain local schools. | 14 | 18 |
| | 12 | 23 |
| Measure O provides locally controlled funding that cannot be taken by the State. Citizen oversight and annual audits ensure funds are spent as promised. | 13 | 23 |
| WE know what is best for OUR kids. Join our community's leaders in voting YES on O. | 17 | 17 |
| | , | 23 (W. |



MAR 2 6 2018

