

MAR 2 6 2018



OFFICE OF
ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERKRECORDER & ELECTIONS
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

MARK CHURCH Chief Elections Officer

BY:

MARK CHURRY CLERK

CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER &

ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later the March 26, 20/8	ian
These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.	
Ballot Measure N for the Primary Electron to be held on June 5, 20	
Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure	
Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet	
If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, the following box and complete the back side of this form.	check
Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Vote Information Packet	3-
Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument	
If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Prima Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signal	dual e
Contact Person: MARK HINKLE Phor	
Morgan Hill, CA 95037	

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402
P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.org web www.smcacre.org

Rebuttal Argumen	t Signers Form			capacity	they are so hat applies		
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.			Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual	
1. MARK W. F. Phone: Address:		President Email: organ Hill, Date: 3-26				4	
2. Name: Phone: Address: Signature:		Title: Email: Date:					
3. Name: Phone: Address: Signature:		Title: Email: Date:		The state of the s			
Address: Signature:		Title: Email: Date:					
5. Name: Phone: Address: Signature;		Title: • Email: Date:					
Submit a second fo	rm (this side only)	for alternate sign		to this	form an	d the ar	gument.
Signers Bona Fide Association	☐ Registered	N/A N/A	Signed Signed			nted	

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form			Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.					
No more than five sign than five signatures are Names and titles listed below. If the signers are part such signing individual name of that bona fide position within that assembly signing below, the argument and believe	re submitted, the fid will be printed in of a bona fide assolite, the title under association/organization/or	rst five listed shal the order that the ociation/organizate the signer's name nization and may tion. that they have rear misleading.	I be printed. y are listed ion, for each e shall list the include their	Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual	
1. Name: Phone: Address: Signature:		Title: Email: Date:						
Name: Mark W. A. Hinkle Phone: Address: Signat		Title: Silicon Valley Tax Email: Organ H; Date: March 26, 2018				>		
Address: Signature:	Chair, Lib	Title: ertarian Party of Sa Email: 04002 Date: March 26, 2018	n Mateo County	CA		>		
Address: Signature:		Title: Email: Date:						
5. Name: Phone: Address: Signature:		Title: Email: Date:						
Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY								
Signers	Registered	N/A	Signed		Date	ed		
Bona Fide Association	☐ Verified	N/A	Signed		Date	ed		

Millbrae Elementary School District \$97 Parcel Tax: Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor - Measure N

With this latest "temporary" parcel tax, the Millbrae Elementary School District claims they need more money to continue providing quality education.

Have they earned this additional tax that will cost you \$485 over the next 5 years (on top of the taxes you are already paying)?

Let's look at the latest student test scores for Math learners attaining proficiency:

- (2015)16 school year results: 61% meeting proficiency.
- That means 39% do NOT meet standards.
- Source: http://www.ed-data.org/district/San-Mateo/Millbrae-Elementary

If you got 61% on a test, that would be a D grade, would it not?

Should you, the voters, reward a 39% failure rate with more money?

If no, we encourage you to vote NO on Measure N.

For English, only 66% met or exceed standards!

No wonder that student enrollment is declining.

Millbrae Elementary School District is already spending \$9,990 per student.

For an average class size of 26 students, that's \$259,740 per class per year.

The average salary and benefits for teachers is \$83,991 per shortened work year.

Yet, the District administration wants more of your hard earned money to pad their salaries and fat pension plans.

The measure says that no funds will be used for administration. But, funds generated separately from this parcel tax can be used for administration expenses without limits. So, that is really an empty promise. Do not be fooled.

NO on Measure N.

If you reward failure, you will get more failure!

For more information please visit us at

http://www.svtaxpayers.org/2018-millbrae-parcel-tax

ED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.

MAR 2 6 2018



25

18

13 13

8

2

16

12

10 10

8

7

14

13 13

14 5

14 13

38 11