

OFFICE OF ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERKRECORDER & ELECTIONS

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO



Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250
The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than
These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law. Ballot Measure RuB for the Subervalor tal Primary to be held on June St. 2018. Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure Ru3
Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet
If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.
Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet
Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument
If the rebuttal argument is signed by <u>anyone</u> different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.
Contact Person: Matthew Quevedo Phone:
Mailing Address: Sau Tose CA
Fax: Email:

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

N/A

Signed

☐ Verified

Bona Fide Association

Dated

Rebuttal Argumen	nt Signers Form				they are si	gning. Ch	eck the
No more than five sig				OHE BOX	NPW		
than five signatures a				2 0	ody of		
Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed				Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	-	
below.					a Sc I Dist	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	
If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the				y of	Gov inty, ecia	ociat	
name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their				Bod	the Cou	Asso	
position within that association/organization.				y, a S	ateo ateo	ide s/Or	le n
By signing below, the			d the	Governing Body County, a Schoo Special District	embe in M	ina F	Individual
argument and believe	e it not to be false or	misleading.		909	Z W Z	<u>ж</u> :	Ĕ
1. Name:	Sloom	Title: Superi	iva cun				
1. Whowen	71000	Email:	1901 SMC				
rione.							
Address		00000	(A 000				
Signatur	,	Date:	lety cans	Œ(
Signatur		Date: 3 23	8				
2. Name:	06 1 -	Title:	1 : (12	Realwa	pad Cit	y ghe	Wher
HANNY DUIC	llanster	Presider	44CEC			4	La
Phone:		Fmail [.]					
Addross:		, ,	Co .	0		•	
		th.	NC 9400	23			
S		Date: /a /					
Name:		Title:	8	1			
	Lemire	Council Went	Ler Redwood Cit	c _l			
Phon		Email:	1	7			
Addraga	9	0		n			
Address	Red	wood City CA	94062	=			
Signature		Date: 3/					
		7/26/18	9				
4. Name:	(0	Title:					
Phone:	is a second seco	Email:					
Address:							
Signature:		Date:					
no. 🛩 websel (CCO) An 1800		2005.000(\$555000215				d etc.	
5. Name:		Title:					
Phone:		Email:					
Address:							
Signature:	I	Date:					
Submit a second for	m (this side only) f	or alternate sign	The same of the sa	to this f	orm and	the arg	gument.
igners	Registered	N/A	Signed		Dat	ed	
ona Fide Association	☐ Verified	N/A	Signed		Dat	ed	



MARK CHURCH CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER &

CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER &
ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

150170 8			rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law. uatorial Privacy to be held on
Rebuttal to Ar	gument in Favor	of Measure	Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure RM
Signed by Exa Pamphlet	ct Same Individ	ual(s) as Argume	nt Already Selected for the Voter Information
same as the inc	ividual(s) signing		ndividual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check s form.
Rebuttal Arg		by Same Individua	al(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter
Submitted by I	Different Individ	ual(s) as the Opp	osing Primary Argument
Argument Subn or whether there back side of this	nission Form alre e are up to five no s form, and attacl	ady submitted—in ew individuals—yo n to this form the w	ent than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary cluding whether there is only one different individual ou must complete the section below, complete the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) information, statement of authorization, and signature.
Contact Person:	*		Phone:
Mailing Address:	2		
Eov:		Т	Email:

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Re	Rebuttal Argument Signers Form			Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.				
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.			Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual		
1.		rdino	Title: Propidout &	CEO, S	licon	Valler	IN GI	Puo
	nature:		San Jose, C	A 95/10			,	
2.	Name:		5/23 Title:	12018				
Pho	ress:		Email:	Tax .				pat .
Sign	nature:		Date:					
3.	Name:		Title:	2.00			П	П
Pho	ne:		Email:					3
Add	ress:					1		19
Sign	ature:		Date:					a.
4.	Name:		Title:					П
Phone:		Email:						
Addı	ress:						6	
Signature:		Date:						
5.	Name:	1	Title:	21	П			
Phone:		Email:				77.57		
ddı	ress:							
Sign	ature:	<i>a</i>)	Date:					
uk	omit a second for	m (this side only	for alternate sign		to this f	orm and	the arg	umen
gnei	rs	Registered	FOR OFFICIAL USE N/A	ONLY Signed		Dat	ed	
ona	Fide Association	☐ Verified	N/A	Signed		Dat	ed	



MARK CHURCH

CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER &
ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments Ballot Measurefor th	unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.
	ure Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure PM
Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Pamphlet	Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information
If you are submitting a rebuttal argument same as the individual(s) signing the originate following box and complete the backs	and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the inal Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check side of this form.
Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Information Packet	e Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter
Submitted by Different Individual(s) as	the Opposing Primary Argument
Argument Submission Form already subror whether there are up to five new individuals back side of this form, and attach to this f	one different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary mitted—including whether there is only one different individual duals—you must complete the section below, complete the form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.
Contact Person:	Phone:
Mailing Address:	
Fay	Email:

Please complete the reverse side of this form.



Authorization Form Change in Preparer, Submitter, or Signer of Rebuttal Arguments

PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. *California Elections Code* §9167, §9317, §9504

The undersigned author(s) hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) (up to five) to sign prepare, or submit (whichever is applicable) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against Measure 2013 for the election to be held on to be held on date of election)

I.	NEW SIGNER(S):	77. 24.
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Keviu Mulliu	
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Warren Slocum	
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Alicia Aguirre	
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Auy Buckuraster	
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Carl Guardino	
II.	NEW PREPARER(S):	
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer:	FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer:	OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.
III.	NEW SUBMITTER(S):	MAR 2 6 2018
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter:	MARK CHAIRCH Chief Mections Office
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter:	DEPOTE CLERK
NAME	(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):	
	Rosanne S. Fonst	18
	Printed Name and Signature of Author Date	
	Printed Name and Signature of Author Date	N 1

Rebuttal to Argument Against Regional Measure 3

If opponents of Regional Measure 3 got their way, we would do nothing to prepare for the additional 2,000,000 residents moving to the Bay Area in the next 20 years.

12 27

Bay Area traffic has grown 80% since 2010 and has broken congestion records for the last four 16 years. We cannot afford to wait and watch as commutes grow longer, public transportation becomes more crowded and Bay Area traffic becomes the worst in the country.

This problem will NOT solve itself. Regional Measure 3 makes vital transportation improvements BEFORE traffic gets worse.

Vote YES on Regional Measure 3 for a comprehensive, integrated, long-term plan to reduce traffic, improve commutes and expand public transportation in San Mateo County.

Regional Measure 3 projects are prioritized to fit the needs of San Mateo County residents. By providing reliable public transportation, Regional Measure 3 helps low-wage employees, working families, senjors, disabled individuals and those without cars get to jobs, training programs and schools.

9

Improving transit options takes cars off the road, reducing traffic for everyone.

13

11 9

2

Vote YES on Regional Measure 3:

Improve travel times for San Mateo County drivers and users of public transportation

Reduce congestion on San Mateo County highways, including Highways 84, 92, 101 and 280

 Extend BART to San Jose and Silicon Valley, purchase new BART cars and help expand BART capacity by 45%

Mandate strong taxpayer safeguards to help ensure transportation projects are completed on time and on budget

Regional Measure 3 offers discounts to qualifying commuters and never increases tolls more than \$1 at a time.

This is our chance to reduce traffic before it brings San Mateo County to a standstill. Vote YES on Regional Measure 3!

247 W

MAR 2 6 2018

