

Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than Aug 28, 201
These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.
Ballot Measure for the Recyal Oleot Dato he held on 1 Du 7 2 017
Ballot Measurefor the Revial Octobro be held on NOV 7, 2017
Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure
Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet
If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.
Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet
Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument
If the rebuttal argument is signed by <u>anyone</u> different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.
Sandy Crittenden Phone
Mailing Address:
Atherton
Fax: Email

Rebuttal Argument Signers F	orm	Each s	igner must de	signate in	which as a
No more than five signatures sh	all appears in	they a	c aigining. CD	eck the <u>on</u>	wnich capaci <u>e</u> box that
	all appear with any argument. If more than five		2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		
Names and titles listed will be pr	rinted in the order that they are listed below.	Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	rning Body of School District,		
		iteo or a S	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School Distric		
individual(s), the title under the	ide association/organization, for each such signing signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide	n Ma	gnir	75	
association/organization and ma	signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide y include their position within that	of Sa Distr	overi	, loi	u o
0		o dy o	ne Go	ociat	16211
By signing below, the undersigne	d state that they have read the argument and believe	ng B	of the	Bona Fide Association of	20
it not to be false or misleading.	they have read the argument and believe	e /erni	mber Mat	Fid	lenbi
1. Name:	Title:		Mer	Bong	ndividual
SIM MAS		007			
Phone	SET FUNDER MIKE CHAIR				
Ado					
Add					- 1
Sign	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			1	
3.6.	Date:	-			
a lyanye:	8/28/17				
2. Chanles Ramor	Title:				
Phone:	IND Past General Plan Commi	1			1
	Email:				X
Address					
	Atherton			Art	
Sig	Date:		1	1	
	8-28-17				
3. Sandy Crutten	Title				
Phone:	der Pastmember Park ud R	26			
	Email:				K
Address:			- E		
G:	Atherton				
Signatu	Date:				
	Date: 8-28-17				
4. 1	Title:				
hone:					
	Email:				
ddress:					
gnature:	Date:		s		
Name:					
· Name:	Title:				
none:					
	Email:		_		
dress:					
nature:	Date:	9	-		
			2 -		
Submit a second form (this s	ide only) for alternate signers attached to this				
ners \square	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	form and	the argu	ıment.	
☐ Register	ed N/A Signed				
a Fide Association	N/A Signed	Dated			
	signed	Dated			

The facts: 2

- The income from property taxes received by the town has so 3 increased in the past few years that it will exceed income from the NEW 27 parcel tax. 29
- Without this parcel tax the town has more income than ever before. 41 Police services account for 55.2% of the budget, which costs increased \$2 by \$1,183,540 (19.6%) since 2015-16. Parcel taxes primarily fund only 62 ONE local school resource officer. 67
- According to the town's 2016-17 budget, and by law, the parcel tax 79 constitutes 2.5% (NOT 13%) of the general fund. 87
- The town HAS \$4.4M in its capital improvement fund that it can spend on capital projects like roads, drainage and safe bike and 110 pedestrian routes. It has indicated it will instead spend it on a building 123 because it is "SURPLUS"—"EXTRA". 128
- A common refrain in town government has been "we have the 139 money". If there is no limit to how much can be spent, it will all be 155 spent. This reaction is one reason the council has never adjusted the 167 parcel tax below the maximum amount despite its pledge to do so if the 181 money was not needed.
- --- It is TAXPAYERS in Atherton who pay property taxes. 199
- --- TAXPAYERS were responsible for the first clean audit. 202
- --- IT was TAXPAYERS who insisted parcel tax dollars be used for 2\3 much-needed capital improvements.

As a taxpayer it is your right to say the parcel tax has now become 232 obsolete. 233

Vote NO on Measure _#_ 238